# REMARKS

ON

DR. PRIESTLEY'S SYSTEM

OF

Materialism, Mechanism, and Necessity,

INA

SERIES OF LETTERS

T O

THE REVEREND MR. WESLEY,

INTRODUCTORY TO

ANESSAY

TOWARDS THE PROOF

OF AN

IMMORTAL SPIRIT IN MAN.

Quid jucundius quam scire quid simus, quid suerimus, quid erimus, et cum his etiam divina et suprema illa post obitum mundique vicissitudines. Cardan

### HULL:

PRINTED BY GEORGE PRINCE, AND SOLD AT THE NEW CHAPEL, CITY ROAD, LONDON, AND AT THE REV. MR. WESLEY'S PREACHING HOUSES IN TOWN AND COUNTRY

REMARKS

11.0

Da. FRIEFELMI. SYSTEM

Materialifa, Mechanifin, and Nor flip,

влатталю влідав

0, 3,

THE REVERSED BIR. Watthe

Cor raccocdeanal.

ALBER A-MOA

TOOM LENGTH WOT ......

UNA TO

AMMORTAL SPICITING MAM.

and comment they have being the course wheat of the P expectation of the old and property and the contract of the old and the course the course of the cours

### . L L U H

## PREFACE.

party of the egg to andring and define

HEN the Author began these Remarks, he only intended to write one fingle Letter, by way of Preface to the Effay on the separate existence of the foul, which he had previoully drawn up, but as he proceeded; he was fo struck with the inconsistency of the Doctrine here refuted, and fuch a number of observations occurred respecting it, that he could not prevail with himself to dismiss the subject till he had written all that follows. But, after this, he would not have dared so far to trust his own judgment as to conclude these Letters were worthy of the public notice, had he not first submitted them to the inspection of the person to whom they are inscribed and taken his opinion. Through his advice, though with much diffidence, they are now fent abroad: and as an apology to the Christian reader, for putting into his hands, what may feem, at first fight, to have little tendency to administer to his spiritual improvement; the Author wishes here to intimate, that though these Remarks are not directly calculated to afford him much edification in faith or holiness, yet indirectly they may promote both the one and the other. They are intended and it is hoped, in some measure adapted to expose and disprove that vain Philosophy, which would turn man, the image of that God who is a spirit, into a mere machine, a body without a soul, a piece of organized matter, all whose motions are purely mechanical, necessary and unavoidable. If therefore, they do not tend to build the reader up in his most holy faith, yet if they demolish the engines, devised by some Philosophers of the age, to undermine and destroy that faith, they may still have their use and be read

with profit.

Surely if there is a doctrine under heaven which overthrows all religion and morality, it is the doctrine here opposed, the doctrine which teaches man has no foul; that while he lives, he is a mere piece of clock-work, necessarily and unavoidably iwayed in all his volitions and actions by furrounding objects; and that when he dies, the whole of him returns to the dust out of which he was taken. This is the doctrine, the unreasonablench of which, it has been the author's endeavour, to let in a clear point of view in the following pages. And if the reader fee it in the fame light of inconfiftency in which it has appeared to the writer of these sheets; he will not only have convincing proof that the great Philesopher who opposes so strenuously, and declaims so constantly against the Divinity and Atonement of Christ, and the influence of divine Grace upon the foul, is not infallible; but will be furnished also with, at least, a presumptive argument that he who is given up to so strong a delusion as to believe himself and all mankind to be mere machines, is very probably mistaken respecting those other important particulars also: and that after all his peremptory and repeated affertions to the contrary, the Lord Jefus may

may happen to be something more than a mere man, may be a proper object of worship, and may have made a real atonement for the sins of mankind and be able to save to the uttermost all that come unto God by him, as ever living to make intercession for them: The Evangelists and Apostles may perhaps have written, as well as spoken, by inspiration, and may be worthy of entire credit in all they have delivered, and even St. Paul that "inconclusive reasoner," may be as sure a guide in the search of truth as Dr. Priest.

ley.

od

h-

ofe

nd

to

ney

lohat

ead

ich

the

hes

ere

nd-

en.

m a

And

fift-

r of

eing

s lo

the

iflu-

alli-

ft, a

d all

bably

arti-

y and

Fefus may

One important lesion, at least, the reader may learn from this publication; he may learn how dangerous it is to leave the Bible, or to depart from that simplicity of faith in its facred Truths. which credits all that the Lord hath spoken, and receives his testimony as certain and infallible. He will reflect that the person who teaches we have no fouls, and who, it feems, is not far from teaching, there is no God, or none that can profit us, began his inglorious course of delusive error by calling in question the testimony of Scripture concerning the Lord that bought him. Hence he soon disbelieved his Divinity, then his Pre-existence, and then his miraculous conception, and proceeded from less to more till he denied him in all his characters and offices, even in that of an infallible teacher, not allowing his very doctrine to be in all points a fure ground of confidence. Thus by undermining the authority of Scripture, even of that delivered by our Lord himself, he has paved the way for discarding any revealed truth that does not comport with his pre-conceived cheme. And is this the person that sets up for

an Oracle and assumes to himself the office of Reformer General of all Creeds, Confessions of

Faith and Articles of religion?

Some errors are of so rediculous a nature that it is not easy to bring one's self to oppose them seriously: nor indeed does that seem the best way of doing it. They are, perhaps, better attacked in the way of Irony. Such, it has appeared to the author of this Tract, are the errors here touched upon; errors of so extravagant a nature and supported by such strange inconsistent reasoning, that one is tempted to think Dr. Priestley could not believe his own doctrine, but was only making the experiment, (like the Conjurer that was to leap into the bottle) whether there, be any absurdity too great for a part, at least of the poor bewildered offspring of Adam to be induced to believe.

Only let me add, if any Christian reader find neither pleasure nor prosit in perusing the Letters, he is referred to the Essay in which it is hoped he will meet with both. As the Author has no doubt but it fully proves, and that on the surest ground viz. the ground of divine revelation, that man has a soul which will out-live his body; so he trusts it will be a means of confirming the reader's faith in that most needful and important truth and of arming him against all the sophistry whereby men of corrupt minds and reprobate concerning the faith, endeavour to over-throw it.

# REMARKS, &c.

### LETTER I.

Reverend and dear Sir,

S Dr. Priestley's System of Materialism is I closely connected with, (if it be not the oundation on which he builds) his capital argunents, defigned for the overthrow of the Pre-existnce and Godhead of Christ, the virtue of his Atonenent, the influence of divine Grace upon the foul nd other fundamental truths of Christianity; it eems proper, before I comply with your and Mrs. letcher's request, with regard to revising and finishng the Letters which Mr. Fletcher had begun to he Doctor, in defence of one of these important octrines, that I should prepare the way by making ome remarks on that fystem, and reminding the christian reader of a few passages in the Holy criptures, which, it appears to me, entirely fap hat foundation and leave his principle arguments o ground to Itand on.

2. I do not, indeed, suppose that these passages rill have any weight with the Doctor. For though e has not yet entirely rejected the authority of the assignment of the suppose that the property of the suppose that these passages are supposed to the suppose that the suppose the suppose that the suppose that the suppose the suppose that the suppose the suppose that the suppose that the suppose that the suppose the suppose the suppose the suppose that the suppose the

RKS,

of

hat em vay ked to

ture fon-

filey

that

any

poor

d to

find

tters,

it is

uthor

n the

vela-

ve his

firm-

al and

all the

id re-

over-

no

na

ha

Ta

le s

n

br

W

re

pa

wit "

44

66

44

46

46

44

4

4

be not so easy, he can suppose that the passage is an interpolation, or has been corrupted by the Orthodox, or is a strong Eastern figure of speech and not to be taken litterally, or that our Lord and his Apostles spoke, in this instance, according to the prevailing sentiments of the times, which they did not think it proper to contradict, or that in this point, even thy thems lives were mistaken. It would astonish a person, who has been accustomed to take the Scriptures in their plain and obvious sense, and who has not read Dr. Priestley and such like authors, that any one under a profession of Christianity should hold and propagate opinions so manifestly unchristian, and attempt to reconcile

them with the word of God.

3. As to that part of his extraordinary system which I now refer to, and which is indeed the foundation of the whole, those who have faved themselves the pain of mind, which the perusal of his works cannot fail to give a ferious Christian, must be informed, he teaches that man is a mere body without a foul, that what we call the foul, viz. the principle of thought and intelligence, is the necessary result of that particular arrangement of matter which composes the human brain; that it neither is nor can be diffinet from it: and of course that when that particular arrangement of matter is dissolved and ceases, the foul is dissolved and ceases also. He is aware that this doctrine implies that man is a mere Machine, unavoidably moved and impelled by furrounding objects and luch perceptions and ideas as they occasion and suggest; and that it draws after it the abjolute necessity of all human actions and volitions. But not at all alarmed at this, or in the least suspicious of the truth of a doctrine, which, in its certain consequences, makes God the sole author of all the fin committed in the world, or rather totally annihilates the distinction between for and holiness, between vice and virtue; and leaves nankind no more accountable for their actions han the cattle that graze in their meadows or the grass these cattle feed on; he openly avows and defends one of these consequences, viz. that God is indeed the Author of sin, and spends many pages in shewing what happy effects would follow, hould his doctrine on this head be so fully emporaced as to become the ruling principle of our

whole conduct.

18

the

and

his

the

did

his

uld

to

ife,

ich

of

fo

ile

em

the

red

of

ın,

ere

iz.

ne-

at-

ris

en

ed

He

sa

ed

nd

WS

nd

he

h,

le

or

den nd

4. But, that it may fully appear I do not mifrepresent his system, I shall select from different parts of his writings fundry passages, in which, with sufficient clearness, he repeatedly tells us what it is. Thus, Difq. P. 160, "Man confifts wholly of matter as much as the river does of water or " the Forrest of trees." Hift. of Cor. P. 425, "Agreeably to the dictates of reason and the testimony " of Scripture rightly understood" we should "acqui-" esce in the opinion that man is himself an homoge-" neous Being and that the power of sensation and "thought belong to the brain, as much as gravity " and magnetism belong to other arrangements of " matter." Difq. P. 124. "According to the "Christian System, the body is necessary to all the " perceptions and exertions of the mind; and if "this be the case, what evidence can there be, "that it is not dependant upon the body for its " existence also; that is, what evidence can there "be, that the faculty of thinking does not inhere " in the body itself, and that there is no such thing " as a foul separate from it?"-P. 355. " The prin-"ciple object (of this treatife) is to prove the uni-"form composition of man, or that what we call " mind, or the principle of perception and thought, " is not a substance distinct from the body, but the " refult of corporeal organization."-

"Whatever matter be, I think, I have fuf-

1000

"ficiently proved, that mind is nothing more than a modification of it." P. 356. The doctrine of necessity, maintained in the Appendix, is the immediate result of the doctrine of the materiality of man, for mechanism is the undoubted consequence of materialism. Preface to Phil. Necess. P. 19. "If man be wholly a material, it will not be denied but that he must be a mechanical being." Every thing therefore belonging to the doctrine of materialism, is in fact an argument for the doctrine of necessity, and consequently the doctrine of Necessity is a direct inference from Materialism."

5. That the Doctor confiders this necessity as extending to all the thoughts, words and works, good and bad, of all mankind, so as to make God the fole author of them all, is plain from the following passages. Pref. P. 25. "The Ancients had " no just idea of the proper mechanism of the mind, depending upon the certain influence of motives " to determine the will, by means of which the " whole feries of events, from the beginning of the " world to the confummation of all things, makes " one connected chain of causes and effects originally. "established by the Deity." And P. 8. Phil. Nec. speaking of the "fixed laws of nature respecting the "will," and that "it is never determined without " fome real or apparent cause, foreign to itself," and that " motives influence us in some definite and "invariable manner, fo that every volition or " choice is constantly regulated and determined by "what precedes it;" he adds "This being admitted " to be fact, there will be a necessary connexion " between all things past, present and to come, in the " way of proper cause and effect, as much in the in-" tellectual as in the natural world; fo that how little " foever the bulk of mankind may be apprehensive " of it, or staggered by it, according to the established " laws of nature, no event could have been other" wise than it has been, is or is to be, and therefore all things past present and to come, are precisely what the author of nature really intended them to

" be and has made provision for."

ian

ine

the

ity

ile-

P. be

ine

the

ine

n.23

as

ks,

od

ol-

ad

rd,

es

he

he

es

ly.

ec.

he

ut

,,,

nd

or

y

ed

n

ne

n-

le

ve

b

r-se

6. He illustrates his meaning, which however is clear enough, by a comparison, P. 9, 10. "Unless " the fundamental laws of the lystem were changed, "it would be impossible that any event should " have been otherwise than it was;—just as the " precise place where a billiard ball rests is necessari-" ly determined, by the impulse given to it at hrit, " notwitstanding its impinging against ever so many "other balls or the fides of the table." So that according to the Doctor, the mind of man, is as perfectly passive as a billiard ball, and is as much at the mercy of furrounding objects and motives, as a billiard ball is at the mercy of the impulles given to it by any person or thing. he (Difq. P. 96.) "Sensations and id as compre-"hend all the objects of thought, and all the ex-"ertions or emotions of the foul, as far as we " can observe, always succeed sensations or ideas; " and to all appearance are as much occasioned and "produced by them as any effect in nature can be " faid to be produced by its proper cause; the one "invariably following the other, according to a "certain established law."

"In fact (proceeds he) a ba'l, acted upon by a foreign mechanical impulse, may just as well be said to have a self moving power as the foul of man; sensations and ideas being as properly an impelling force respecting the mind, as the stroke of a red &c. is an impelling force

" with respect to the ball."

7. Hence he affirms (Phil. Nec. P. 43.) that "all mo"tions are equally mechanical," and "in every view
"of the subject, whether the will be considered in a
"popular or philosophical sense, it appears, that
B 3 "its

" its determinations must be directed by certain in-" variable laws, depending upon the previous state " of the mind and the ideas present to it at the " moment of forming any resolution; so that in no " case whatever could they have been otherwise than they actually were." A soothing doctrine this to the man, whose conscience accuses him of enormities and crimes, which, he torments himself with thinking he might have avoided. Let him hearken to Dr. Prieftley, and give his fears to the wind. In committing adultery, incest, robbery and murder he has only been obeying the fundamental laws of the fystem and fulfilling the will of his Almighty Creator. For tays he (Dedication P. Q.) "whatever men may intend or execute, all their "deligns and all their actions are subject to the " fecret influence and guidance of one who is necef-" farily the best judge of what will most promote his "own excellent purpofes." And if adultery and murder will most promote these, why should any one condemn the adulterer and murderer? Or why should he condemn himfelf? Let him know (P. 12.) " There " is but one will in the whole universe, and this "one will, exclusive of all chance, or the inter-" ference of any other will, disposes of all things, " even to their minutest circumstances," and (P. 13) " is always done on earth as well as in heaven." It is done therefore when adultery and incest, robbery and murder are committed, as truly and as fully as when men are temperate and chafte, just and merciful. Nor is it needful to pray that it may be done because it always is and must be done, and that necessarily and unavoidably, otherwise the fundamental laws of the fystem would be altered, which is impossible.

8. Nor is it on the authority of Dr. Priefley only that we are to believe this doctrine; but on that of feveral other learned and great Philosophers also,

and in particular of Mr. Hobbes. This gentleman, Dr. Prieftley tells us (Pref. P. 27.) " was the first " who understood and maintained the proper doc-" trine of Philosophical necessity," (which we have just seen stated and explained in the Doctor's own words) and, in the judgement of the Doct.r, did no small honour to this country in making such a capital and glorious di covery, that man is a mere machine, and that all his volitions and actions are necessary and unavoidable. Mr. Hobbes, it seems, affures us that " the liberty of man in doing what he " will, is accompanied with the necessity of doing "what God will and no more nor less," and that "we cannot have any passion, will or appetite of " which God's will is not the cause." In the mouth, therefore, of these two great witnesses, of unquestionable credit and authority, this important matter is fully established, and all sin of what kind soever, committed as has been supposed, against God, our neighbour and our-felves, in thought and defire, temper, word and work, and vulgarly called difobedience and the transgression of the law, is in reality obedience and the keeping of the law, even obedience to the supreme and irresistible will of God, which always is and must be done, and keeping the fundamental law, or laws, of the system which it is as impossible for any creature to transgress, as it is for the Almighty to be overcome.

9. What a pity it is, confidering how well calculated this doctrine is to quiet men's confciences, that it should be confined to Philosophers and their disciples, and should not meet with a more favourable reception among the illiterate and the vulgar. For these, it must be confessed, have almost as much need of it as the great and the learned. But as

Horace justly observed.

e

n

e

1

)

r

3

-

C

C

S

,

S

f

Sensus communis in ista

Not but that they will go along with our Doctor a certain length, but as he tells us (Phil. Nec. P. 105.) "When they are told that in consequence of these concessions they must admit that nothing could have been otherwise than it has been, that every thing comes to pass in consequence of an established constitution of things, a constitution established by the author of nature, and therefore that God is to be considered as the proper and fole cause of all things, good and evil, natural and moral, they are staggered and withhold their affent."

10. "From this place therefore, (adds he) the "Philosopher must be content to proceed by him-" felf," who, however, it feems will be amply recompensed for his courage, in venturing to ascend into the regions of speculation, in the philosophical Balloon which, with the help of Lord Kaim, Mr. Hobbes, and Dr. Hartley, Dr. Prieftley, has constructed, while the vulgar fouls, that are affraid to rife above the ground their forefathers flood on, and are content to walk when they might fly, are necessarily deprived of the enlarged and comprehensive view this aerial tour would give them. For "we shall see (pro-" ceeds he) that his more comprehensive views of "the fystem of nature" (viz. 'that God is the proper and sole cause of all things, good and evil, natural and moral') " are not left, but much more " favourable to his improvement in virtue and hap-" piness, than the more limited views of the bulk of mankind. These" (alas! for their poor, low, groveling, unphilosophical ideas!) "look no fur-" ther for the causes of men's" (wicked) " actions "than to men" (fometimes indeed they may think the Devil hath some hand in them) " whereas " the Philosoper considers them as necessary instru-"ments in the hands of the first cause." Prepare we therefore, Reverend Sir, to attend while the Doctor Doctor " fairly traces the confequences of this more " enlarged and juster view of thing," if peradventure his dicourse may induce us also to become Philosophers! In the mean time, excuse the liberty I take in addressing you upon this subject, and believe me to be

was distantially class and the

arabela ji bre e strem lipita in e arabi orasila je itu paljeri sasi

to the summer of health of the

g

1

Your unnecessitated. Free and voluntary Servant in Christ, JOSEPH BENSON.

LETTER II.

#### LETTER II.

Reverend and dear Sir,

Fear the concluding part of my former letter would raife your expectations too high, concerning the advantages to be derived from this famous fystem; and that you will feel a great disappointment when I begin this letter with informing you, in the Doctor's words, (P. 106) " that the practical " use of these (his) philosophical views, is confined "to a man's cooler moments, when the mind is " not under the influence of any violent emotion " or passion. For" (adds he) "fince the mind of a Phi-"losopher is formed and the affociations by which "it is influenced are five come in the mote of other " men," (he being a mere body without a foul, like them and all his motions purely mechanical, necessarily produced and directed, caused and determined by furrounding objects) "he will not be able in the gene-" ral hurry of life to feel, think or act different " from other men: but a provocation will fix his re-" fentment upon the person from whom it immediate-" ly proceeds, or a grateful and kind action will in " like manner direct his love and gratitude to the " person from whom it immediately comes; his "own actions also will be considered with the " fame mechanical feelings of felf applause or remorse, " as if he had not been a philosopher." For, (that I may add a word in confirmation of the Doctor's opinion)though a Philosopher, he is still but a machine (a billiard ball, suppose) and must move fast or flow, this way or that, according to the impulse given him by persons or things arround. But when this floating creature, this Philosophical Machine, or Mechanical Philosopher, is drawn out of of this tumult of furrounding waters which to shim to and fro, like a cork;—When, as the Doctor expresses it, "he is retired from the world,"—" in his "cooler moments, and under the influence of no vio"lent emotion and therefore contemplating nothing "very recent," the case will be different: The "Mechanism of his mind," receiving sewer impulses from outward objects will be more gentle and uniform in its motions, and he will reap the full effect

of his philosophy.

1

C

C

e,

I

i-

fe

ut

al

ut

o£

2. "Confider we therefore (P. 106) the feelings " of this Philosopher" in these circumstances, when, if he is not quite at rest from external objects and the fensations and ideas they occasion, (in which case, I presume he would stand stock still, like a watch gone down, having no principle of motion within himself) yet is "under the influence of no "violent emotion," (as the Doctor has it) and "therefore is contemplating nothing very re-" cent;" recent events, it feems, having, by fome unknown law of the fystem, the power of necessiarily producing violent emotions; And no wonder, for bodies attract each other, not only according to the quantity of matter they contain, but also according to their respective distances; and recent events, being near at hand, affect the more powerfully: " Let us confider (I fay with the Doctor P. 107.) " what alteration in a man's fentiments and "conduct," these views, "will tend to produce, "whether the change will be favourable or un-"unfavourable, whether his Philosophy will make " him the better or the worse man, the better or " the worse Christian."

3. And first "In the Doctor's opinion, his philosophical views," viz. that man is a mere machine, and that all his motions are equally mechanical and equally necessary and unavoidable, "will give an "elevation and force to his piety and to virtue in

" all its branches that could not have been acquired " any other way." " This, the Doctor thinks, may " be perceived in those persons whose general " views of things have approached the nearest to " those that are truly philosophical," by which he " means those who from a princip I of religion, have " ascribed more to God and less to man then other " perfons," and produces " the facred writers and " others who have imbibed their devotional spirit, " from an intimate acquaintance with the scriptures " as instances of this. The Doctor would have us to infer, that if their views of things, instead of approaching very near to those that are truly philosophical, had been wholly fo, they would have afcribed, not only " more to God and left to man," than other people, but would have ascribed all to God, and nothing to man, as Dr. Prieftly, Dr. Hartley, Lord Kaims, Mr. Hume, and Mr. Hobbes do; and would have been as perfect in the devotional spirit as these gentlemen are known to be or to have been. In other words, if the being almost Materialists and Necesfarians, produced so much of a spirit of true devotion in the Prophets and Apostles, the being altog ther fuch, as Dr. Priefley is, and Mr, Hebbes, and Mr. Hume were, would have produced as great perfection in devotion as these, confessedly most devout persons, were or are possessed of!

le

nic

I

ti

2

it

de

wi ot

f

RE

el

re ea

at

im

th

ur

of

no

ex

ha

n

no

4. But, adds he P. 108. "That the spirit of devotion in general must be greatly promoted by the persuasion that God is the proper and sole cause of all things needs no arguing." For "upon this Scheme, we see God in every thing" (I add even in adultery and murder) "and may be said to see every thing" (even adultery and murder) in God; because we continually view every thing," (even adultery and murder) as in connexion with him the author of it. By this means, the idea of God will become associated with "every

every other idea," (even those of adultery and order) "heightening all our pleasures," (arising om the commission of the former) "and diminishing, nay absorbing and annihilating all our pains," of conscience on account of having

en guilty of the latter.

It is evident therefore to a demonstration, the spirit of devotion must be even perf. Eted by a doctrine when truly embraced. But this is le in comparison of the other blessed affects

nich it will produce.

.

1,

r

d

d

ď.

[e

er

f-

10-

il-

es,

as

lly

of

by

ole

on

dd

aid

er)

ery

on-

ns,

tith

ery

To be perfuaded that we are mere machines and and speak and think by the unavoidable, necesting influence of motives, and are as mechanical all our motions as a clock or watch, must nefarily produce humility yea the "deepest humiity, (as the Doctor affures us) the most entire efignation to the will of God and the most unreferved confidence in his goodness and providential care." And then with these views "it will not be possible to bear ill will to any of our " other machines, whose motions, if they happen to th with ours, we shall know to be purely meanical and not at all owing to themselves, but ely to their maker, with whom we shall not re to quarrel. So that (P. 109.) "this one eading principle of devotion cannot fail to reguate the whole temper and conduct. It necessarily implies or begets every thing in a man's temper that is truly amiable and valuable." Nay (he ures us P. 114.) That " with fuch fublime views of the fystem and of the author of it," as he es us, "vice is absolutely incompatible; and more especially envy, hatred and matice are wholly excluded. I cannot (fays he) as a necessarian nate any man, because I consider him as being n all respects just what God has made him to be, nd also as doing with respect so me," (even when he picks my pocket, robs my house, de bauches my wife or murders my child) "nothing "but what he was expressly designed and appointed to do; God being the only cause and men no "thing more than the instruments in his hands to "execute all his pleasure;" to commit thest and robbery, murder and adultery, as often as he please which it appears, with regard to some, is not seldom.

12

h

na

m

no

ni

nl

ei ee

00

or

ffe

TC

1

7

n

ei

he

hii

101

an

ha

wh

he

ayı

n

a

P

C

6. And if as, a necessarian, he " ceases to blame "men for their vices in the ultimate fense of the "word," or to love them for their virtues, am " further than as mere instruments, "I cannot help (proceeds he) " on my fystem, viewing them with " a tenderness and compassion that will have an in " finitely finer and happier eff at; as it must make " me more carnell and unwearied in my endea vours to" (after the fundamental laws of the fystem, to oppose the almighty will destination and appointment of God and to) " reclaim them from those fins and vices which, as we have jul feen, they are expressly designed, appointed, and ascofficated to commit) " without fuffering myfelf is a be offended, and defift from my labour through "provocation, difgust or dispair." For as the Doctor fets himself to oppose their wickedness, a man would fet himfelf to hinder the flowing of the tide, which he knows will flow just as far t God appoints and no further; fo he is neither provoked nor disgusted that they are wicked, no does he despair of their being otherwise, who God fhall appoint otherwise, or when the prope period comes for the tide of their vices to eb and the wheel of the great Machine of nature come round again. In other words as he look upon them as " mere inflruments in the hands God and as being and doing nothing but wha "God expressly defigned and appointed them to

, de

thing

inte

n no-

ds to

t and

leafe

no

olam

of the

, am

help

with

an 10

make

ridea f the

n and

hem

e jul

roug

fs, 2

ng o

far a

eithe l, no

whe

rope

look

ds o

tob

an an

an

and to do," God himself being "the proper and fole cause of all things, good and evil natural and moral;" fo it can only be in jeft or in prence, it cannot be in earnest that he endeavours to claim or oppose them; as it cannot be that so rife a man and fo gre t a Philosopher as Dr. riefley, so well acquainted with the nature of nifes and eff. ets, and the weakness of instruments. then compared with agents, it cannot be (I fay) hat le should attempt feriusly to change the nmutable laws of the fystem, or refist the order nd appointment of the first and only cause of all hings. Hence, as he lets about this bufiness, nly as it were in jest and not in earnest, so he is either provoted nor diffusted when it does not suceed; especially as he is well paid for what he oes in this way and is liberally maintained by his ongregation for making these playful and amusing fforts to hinder the decrees of fate, and stop the rogrefs of dire necessity.

7. Indeed as to his using (P. 113,) the word relaimed" at all in this business, it seems it was n overlight, for who, that wishes to speak proerly, would talk of reclaiming men from obeying he will fulfilling the laws and answering the apointment of the first, fole and constant cause of all hings? who would talk of "reclaiming" water from lowing, the tide from ebbing, or the flame of a andle from ascending? Surely tis an abuse of words to talk of reclaiming a piece of mere mehanism from those purely mechanical motions which its author has given it. The Doctor herefore, certainly forgets his principles when he ays (P. 113.) " The natures of the most vicious of mankind being the same with my own, they are as improvable as mine, and whatever their difpolition be at prefent, it is capable of being changed for the better, by means naturally, C 2 " adapted "adapted to that end; and under the discipline of the universal parent, they will, no doubt, be "reclaimed, sooner or later." For how can a disposition be improved or changed for the better which is already so perfect as to correspond exactly with the will of God, and has no though or design, temper or soft n of which God's will is not the cause? And how can any man need to be reclaimed who moves as obediently to every impulse

given him as a billiard ball?

8. But it may be faid, that, fince according to the Doctor, " man confilts wholly of matter as " much as the river does of water, or the forest of " trees," he furely may improve as a tree or a river, and "the Mechanism of his mind," perhaps may be changed for the better and made more perfect by him who made it at first. Then I answer " the " means naturally adapted to that end," must be of a fimilar nature with those whereby a river, tree or piece of Mcchanism is improved and altered for the better. Surely not fermons or prayers, advice or exhortation, for whoever thought of preaching to a tree or river, or of exhorting a watch or clock to move fafter or flower? But some proper application of matter to matter, either in the way of food or physic, air or exercise, or to take the Machine in pieces by death and build it up again of better materials and in a more mafterly manner at the refurrection.

"9. It feems therefore that the Doctor" who is so "earnest and unwearied in his endeavours to re"claim mankind," is under a little mistake as to he means, and instead of publishing books and preaching fermons, had better apply himself to the practice of Physic, administer medicines, prescribe a proper regimen, and take care that his patients have air and exercise adapted to their case. This is certainly the most likely way to alter and improve

th

27

W

e

0

44

44

-

11

92

0

1

11

4

1

-61

a

1

ne of

, be

an a

etter

callly

efign,

t the

re-

pulie

ig to

t of

wer,

may

rfed

the

e of

10 3

for

vice

ning

ock

pli-

food

e 1n

ma-

re-

fo

re-

to

nd

the

be

nts.

his

ve

10

the body in all its parts, and man confifts of no hing elfe; he is wholly material, and perception and int ligence, and much more passion and appe ite arise altogether from, and depend entirely upon the modification of matter in his head or heart or both, and what effect books and fermons, instructions and exhortations can have in altering the modification of that matter, it is difficult, if not impossible to fay. If therefore, as he fays "Their fufferings " will be in proportion to their depravity, and for "this realon, he cannot but feel himself most "earnestly concerned to lessen it." I hope he will remember that, according to his own doctrine it can only be a depravity of the body, either of the matter of which it is composed or of the modification of that matter, and that he will hereafter apply his remedies accordingly, endeavouring by some likely means to change the depraved Machine either as. to its matter or forme this to the aids

10. And yet, as to God, " nothing (not even this same depravity.) " is seen as an evil, but as a " necessary and useful part of a perfect whole," his attempting to remove it, will be attempting to remove what, in his own judgement, is "no evil, "but a necessary and useful part of a perfect "whole." It will be like a bungling artist attempting to remove the wheel of a watch, the ule and absolute necessity of which he nevertheless sees and confesses. So that upon the whole, it seems most advisable for the Doctor to stand aside and not meddle in the business, but be quiet, left, while he attempts to mend the work of infinite wildom. and alter the fundamental laws of the system, he only shew his folly and weakness; more especially: as he himself, though a Philosopher, is yet but a Machine, his "mind being formed and the affoci-"ations whereby it is influenced being fixed exact-"ly like those of other men," and it seems too much; C 3

65

165.

. 86

-46

44

4

366

16

16

86

.

ä

1

H

for one Machine to attempt to alter another, or for one to attempt altering many. And I doubt not but the Doctor, notwithstanding "his deep "concern" on account of " the fufferings of man-"kind" and his "earnest desire to lessen them," will be able to reprefs his ardor and check his raffiness in this matter, under "the full persuasion he has (P. 109.) " that nothing can come to pais " without the knowledge and express appointment of the greatest and best of beings;" and that " notwithstanding all present unfavourable appear-" ances, whatever is, is right; and that even all evil; " respecting individuals or societies, any part or the " whole of the human race, will terminate in good " and the greatest sum of good could not, in the "nature of things, be attained by any other "means." So that had the Doctor fucceeded in his endeavours to leffen the "depravity" and "fufferings" of mankind, he would to far, not only have altered " what is precifely as the author of nature really intended it to be," and have changed the appointment of the greatest and best of beings, (which as it was impossible, so would have been impious) but would also have made that rorong which was right and have prevented, in forme degree, that " greatest sum of good " which, " in the nature of things can only be attained" by that depravity and those sufferings.

no "disgust or provocation" at finding his endeawours unsuccessful; no wonder that he feels (P. 109). "a joyful ferenity in his mind," let men be as wicked and miserable as they will. (For, they are, his own words P. 110.) though "upon any other "hypothesis, it may be believed that many things "are continually going wrong, and that much assault "evil, unconnected with, and unproductive of "good, does exist:" yet "in the eye of a necessarian. OF

abt

ep

an-

n,"

his

ion

als

ent

hat

ar-

wil;

the

boot

the

her

lin

and

not

hor

ave

beft

uld

that

in

ich.

by

cels.

lea-

23

her

ngs

tual of

fla-

ian

rian, the idea of real absolute evil, wholly dif-"appears: fince in the contemplation of a mind, opossessed of a sufficient degree of comprehension, "all partial evils, are infinitely overballanced, " and are therefore really and truly annihilated, in. "the idea of the greater good to which they are "fubservient, and which, when properly disposed, "(as by infinite wildom they undoubtedly are) "they really heighten." Hence (P. 111) "he re-" gards every person and every thing " (even adul-"tery and murder) " in a friendly and pleafing " light," as " necessarily connected with and ne-"ceffary parts of an immence glorious and happy. " fystem," of which Gcd is the author, and view-"ing all as " one family, training up in the fame " school of moral discipline," he looks upon all (even adulterers and murderers) " as joint heirs of " eternal life revealed to us in the golpel."

12. What a pity, Reverend Sir, you had not embraced this fyllem in the early part of your life. For as you have spent above half a century in unwearied labours to lessen the sins and miseries of mankind, and during that time, have doubtless often lamented to find your endeavours less successful than you could have wished; you might by this means have saved yourself much distress of mind, many uneasy and painful resections! Leaving you to consider, whether, though in your eighty-seventh year, it would not still be worth your while for the sake of such mighty advantages, to enter into Dr. Priestey's views and become a Materialist and Necessarian, I subscribe myself

Reverend Sir,

cellulated three con entractions of the last no attention

The ment could be lifty by otherwise their where

Xour fervant in Christ, &c.

### LETTER III.

Ma Middle and a series with

Reverend Sir,

Find, upon looking again into the Dollo's Book, that in laying before you the advantages. to be derived from embracing the Doctrine of Necessity, I have omitted one of peculiar importance, which I new beg leave to submit to your confideration; and the rather because it is of a fingular nature and fuch as no one would suppose could belong to this fustem. It is this: "That the "Doctrine of Necessity makes every man the maker " of his own fortune in a stricter fense than any " other lystem whatever." Phil. Nec. P. 99. For, it is. well known, that most or all other systems suppose man to be an intelligent Being, possessed of liberty of choice and action, or, in other words, a fraagent, having within him a felf determining power, which he may use or abise; whereas this system implies that he is wholly a material being, a mere mathine, and that all his volitions and actions are as mechanical as the motions of a clock, and entirely and confiantly, owing to the conflitution and appointment of the supreme Artist. It follows therefore, that, as a watch is the maker of its own motions, and as it depends wholly on itself whether it shall go fast or slow, regularly or irregularly; so a man is the maker of his own fuccess, and it depends wholly on himself whether he shall prosper or not. 2. But we will let the Doctor fet this matter in

a clear point of view so that all may see and believe. "It is imagined by some (says he P. 96.) that the apprehension of all the actions of men depending upon motives which necessarily influence their determinations, so that no action.

s or event could possibly be otherwise than it has

MITTER TILL

tor's

agos.

of

nce,

mi-

ular

bluc

the

aker

any.

at is

pole

erty

free

wer,

Item

ma-

e as

erely

oint-

ore,

and

I go

m 18

ends

not.

er in

and

96.)

ot.

arily

tion.

t has

" been, is, or is to be, would make men indifferent with respect to their conduct, or to what befalls "them in life. I answer, so it would, if their own " actions and determinations were not necessary " links in this chain of causes and events:" that is, if God had not, by the constitution of their nature and the immutable laws of the fystem, laid them under an absolute necessity of determining and acting as they do: "and if their good or bad fuccess did " not in the strictest sense of the word depend upon themselves;" precisely as a watch's going well or ill depends upon itself, notwithstanding that its main foring is tempered, and all its parts formed and fixed by its maker, so that it is impossible it should go faster or slower than he has made it to go. Just so, though the will and appointment of God and the immutable laws of the lystem, have absolutely fixed all the determinations and actions of men, so that they always are " pre-"cifely what the author of nature really intended " them to be" (P. 8. Phil. Nec.) and men can have " no passion, will or appenie of which God's will "is not the cause;"—though "God, that seeth " and disposeth all things, seeth also that the liberty " of man, in doing what he will, is accompanied " with the necessity of doing that which God will, " and no more nor less;" yet still men's "good or "bad fuccels in the strictest sense of the word " depends upon themselves," and they only are to blame if they have not good fuccefs, being the iole makers of their own fortune! What a close reasoner is Dr. Priestley! His arguments are perfeet demonstrations! God frames the immutablelaws of the fystem, the immutable laws of the fystem causes men's determinations and actions, and their determinations and actions make their good or bad fuccels: their good or bad fuccels therefore depends upon themselves. It depends upon

their dions, which depend upon their determinations, which depend upon the laws of the fystem, which depend upon God: Therefore it depends upon themselves, and that "in the strictest sense of the word!"

3. The Doctor proceeds, " This being the cafe; the apprehension that their endeavours to pro-" mote their own happiness will have a certain " and necessary effect " (even as a greater force of the main spring of a watch has a certain and neceffary effect upon all the wheels and motions dependent thereon) "and that no well- (may I not add? or ill-) judged effort of their's will be loft, "will encourage them to exert themselves with " redoubled vigour; " and yet " their determina-" tions and actions being necessary links of the "chain," and depending, as we have feen, upon the immutable laws of the fystem; they will exert themselves just so much as God has appointed, and neither more nor less, he being "the proper " and fole cause of all things, good and evil, natu-" ral and moral."

4. Again, (P. 97.) "with respect to the temperor dis-"position of the mind, (adds he) considered in a " moral refpect, a man has certainly more encour-"agement to take pains to improve it, when he is " fensible that according to the settled constitution " and established laws of nature, it depends entirely " upon himfelf whether it be improved or not." That is, according to the fettled constitution and eftablished laws of nature, his disposition must be precifely what God has fixed and appointed, neither better nor worfe, therefore it depends upon himfelf whether it be improved or not and he that knows and believes this fustem, has great encouragement to take pains to improve it! The Doctor goes on, " and that his negligence will be follow-"ed by necessary and certain ruin, whereas his " circumspection, resolution and perseverance will " be

be attended with as certain and necessary success, things foreign to himself not interfering here " as they fometimes do in the conduct of civil " affairs, to disappoint the best concerted schemes." And yet " the fettled conflitution and established " laws of nature," (things foreign to himself) or the appointment and will of God fo interfere as to render his negligence on the one hand, or his refolution and penseverance on the other, n ceffary and unavoidable; nor can any scheme whatever succeed or be disappointed in matters civil any more than in those that are moral or religious, otherwise than as the will of God and the immutable laws of the

witem ordain.

tent,

hich

em.

rd! "

cale;

pro-

Tain

e of

ne-

de-

not

oit,

vith

na-

the

pon

cert

ed.

per

u-

dif-

n a

ur-

18

OR

rely

t."

ef-

re-

IC P

m-

nat

u-

6

N-

115

11

ac.

5. But adds the Doctor, (P. 99) "though the chain " of events is necessary, our own determinations and "and actions are necessary links of that chain, "This gives the farmer the fullest assurance, that if it be decreed for him to starve, it is like wife " decreed for him to neglect to low his field, but if "he do fow his field, which depends entirely "upon himself," that is, his action depends entirely upon his determination, his determination upon the laws of the fiften, and these upon God, man being no more than "a mere instrument in the " hands of the first cause, and all his motions "being merely mechanical;"-if (I fay) he do fow " his field, that then fince the laws of nature are "invariable," (and all that fow their fields are infallibly fure of having plenty of corn!) "it will be "evident that no fuch unfavourable decree (as that he should starve) "had gone forth." In other words, as the established constitution and fundamental laws of the fystem lay the farmer under an unavoidable necessity of determining to fow his held and reap a crop, so it depends wholly on himself whether he shall so determine or not; or, as the hand of the affaffin absolutely compels the knife

knife to stab his neighbour, so it depends wholly on the knife whether it will stab him or not!

6. In this manner does the great and learned Dr. Priestley prove to a demonstration that the " lystem of necessity makes every man the maker " of his own fortune, in a stricter sense than any " other system whatever! and the belief of this, " gives a man greater confidence of fuccess in all "his labours, fince none of them can be in vain." This then we may be fure is one fource of the great confidence Dr. Prieftley has, that his labours shall not be in vain, but that in the end all flesh shall be Materialist:, Necessarians, and Socinians: For as a farmer finding himself disposed to sow his field, concludes from thence that it is decreed he shall low it, and therefore that he shall not starve (" fince the laws of Nature are invariable") fo Dr. Prieftley, finding himself disposed to propagate his doctrine, infers from thence that it is decreed he shall propagate it, and that all mankind shall, by and by, believe.

in

r

7. " On the contrary (P. 99.) wherever this chain " of the necessary connexion of causes and effects is broken, there uncertainty enters, and the idea " of this is always accompanied with indifference " or despair." So that, were not the Doctor certain of succeeding, he would despair of succeeding knowing no medium between those two extremes, and would be indifferent about it, as not judging it worthy of a Philosopher to be concerned about fecuring any thing, which was not feeure bef re! Thus when a young man begins business, as it is uncertain whether he shall succeed, so that uncertainty always makes him defpair of succeeding, and indifferent about using all prudent and proper means in order that he may succeed; or when a racer starts for a prize, the uncertainty he is in, whether he shall win, makes him despair of winning, and indifferent about

olly

ned

the

ker

any

his,

all

n."

the

urs

eih

ns:

his

he

rve

to

hat

all

am

cts

lea

nce

er-

ng,

CS,

11

fe-

nus

217

al-

ent

a

all

ut

ut putting forth all his strength in order thereto; ereas the certainty of winning would make him ert himself to the utmost, left he should lose, and certainty of fucceeding in business would make nan more diligent to enfure that fuccess which fure already!- I fay again, what an admirable cian is this great Dr. Prieftley? Surely his reaing has a force in it that is irrefiftible! It must r down all before it, and persuade all flesh to ome Necessarians and, of consequence every ng else that is wife and good, holy and bappy! B. And yet, when I recollect myself and consider t no event can take place but what is appointed I fixed by the immutable laws of the fystem, I obliged to check this confidence and to fay, if laws of the fystem are so fixed, that all are to be Necessarians then they will be so, but if not, y will not be fo. In like manner with regard this point, as many as are appointed and necelted to believe that this doctrine " makes every nan the maker of his own fortune, in a stricter ense than any other system whatever," will ieve fo, being unavoidably impelled by the werful impulses given him by the Doctor's uments; but as for others who are not so pointed and necessitated, they perhaps may nk that it is Necessity, or the immutable laws of the em, or, to go deeper still, the author and framer reof, viz. God himfelf, who is the only maker of ry man's fortune or misfortune; He (it leems) being he proper and fole cause of all things, good and vil, natural and moral " and "all things past, prepresent and to come, being predilely what he really intended them to be." Not doubting but u will join with me in deploring the necessitated d unavoidable, and therefore inexcufable unbelief of h, I again subscribe myself, Reverend Sir, Your servant in Christ,

LETTER IV.

#### LETTER IV.

Do

ne rii lei

vi out

ve

CC

nd

na

rif

ray

ny he 3

bje 115

DW!

co

nye

ref

fth

pr

ave

uta ce

fo

to

bei

m

me

me

TO THE REPORT OF THE PARTY.

Reverend and dear Sir,

IF you be not one of those unbelievers, mentioned in the close of my last letter, whom the established constitution of nature and the immatable laws of the fyften render impregnable to the mighty Engines deviled by that great Mathematician and Philosopher Dr. Prieftley, and the weighty arguments he has forged, for the demolition of whatever exalt itself against the universal reign of his favourite Scheme of Necessity: if you do but happen to be one whom that established constitution and these immutable laws necessitate to believe his doctrine:you must (I think) by this time be convinced of what I dare fay, you had before no idea or conception, viz. That the scheme of Necessity ! make " every man the maker of his own fortune in " stricter sense than any other system whatever." This, I would hope, will prepare you to hear with a favourable regard, what the Doctor has to fay upon another difficult point, -difficult, I mean to an ordinary genius, but not to a mind constructed upon fo large a scale as that on which Dr. Priefley is formed. You have already had more than on specimen of his wonderful skill, not only in de stroying the force of an apparently strong objection but in converting that very objection into an argument in favour of his own hypothesis. In which case, one may compare him to an able Commande who not only finds means to render the enemia Engines of war useless to them, but by some us expected manœuvre, even possesses himself of the and employs them against the party they were in tended to support. 2, 1

2. To the remarkable instance of this, I ave you in my last letter, I shall now add another o less remarkable. It concerns the subject of rayer. Some half thinking people, whose minds re not expanded sufficiently to enter into the Doctor's views, or take in his large and compreensive system, will doubtless suppose that his docrine, of Maserialism, Mechanism, and Necessity, reners prayer unnecessary and unreasonable. For they vill argue, that if all our motions, inward and outward, are purely mechanical, and all our temers, words and works, as also all events whatver, fixed and established by immutable laws, ecording to which all things great and small, good nd evil, pleating and painful, come to pais by navoidable necessity, fo that nothing can be otherrife than it is or is to be; to what end should we ray? Will our praying be the means of changing ny thing great or finall, in ourfelves or others, or in he fixed and established course of things?

ned

thed

the

ines

ofo-

s he

kalu

arite

one

im-

e ;--

d of

con-

ake

in

ver."

heat

las to

nean

ucte

flley

a one

n de

Etion

argu

which

anad

emid

e un

the

re 10

2. 1

3. How ably the Doctor answers this plausible bjection, and how fully he frees his scheme from his apparent difficulty, you will fee in what folows. " As our perfuation (fays he Phil. Nec. P. 100) concerning the Doctrine of necessity cannot make ny change (unless for the better) in our conduct with respect to men, whom we must gain to our interest" fthe immutable laws of the fystem to appoint) " by proper conduct and address;"-the Doctor might we added and that conduct and address these imutable laws fecure to fome, while the fame laws cellitate others to a contrary conduct and address; so neither can it affect our behaviour with respect to Ged, the mode and object of our address to both, being exactly similar:" That is [if I understand in right) we are to address God exactly in the me manner, in which we address man and for the me end ! And no wonder, for we are mere machines D 2

and all our motions are equally medical and it is well known that a clock strikes in the same manner and for the same purpose before a King as before the meanest of his subjects! Surely the Doctor does not mean that God is a mechanical Being also, and is influenced by motives necessarily, as he supposes man to be; moved unavoidably by what he here calls a proper conduct and addre's! But per-

fu

al

G

la

prol

of

G

ac

A

th

ot

th

are

tio

the as

A

the

No

shi

as fuc

and

000

1

4.ti

0

· fi

t to

haps he will explain himself.

4. "It is impossible (proceeds he) to suppose "that there can be any difficulty attending the " fubject of prayer or any branch of it, upon the " Supposition of the doctrine of necessity, that " does not equally affect it on the general suppose " tion of God's knowing all our wants and being " disposed to supply them as far as it is proper " that he should do it." That is, on the supposition that God has fixed all things, paft, prefent, and come by immutable laws, fo that nothing can b otherwise in any man's heart or life, temper or be haviour, foul or body; in the state of his healthou affairs, respecting himself or family, his relations friends or neighbours, his country or the world the church of God or all mankind, than is alread and was from the beginning unalterably fixed an appointed; -on this supposition (I fay) prayer is reasonable, as on the general supposition of God knowing all our wants and being disposed to supply them as far as it is proper that he should do it! fear there are some who will not agree with the Doctor in this, but notwithstanding his positive affirmation, will still think it possible that the may be a greater difficulty in the one case than the other. Let them, however, weigh what h further observes.

5. "It is sufficient to say, that the whole of or intercourse with the Deity is founded upon the idea of his condescending, for our good, to consider

di

man.

fore

ector

also, supat he

per-

Pole

n the

that post-

rope

fitio

nd t

or be

Itho

tions

vorld

read

d an

r is a

upph it!

th th

ofitiv

an I

at h

of ou

on, th

iderd

confidered by us in the familian light of a Parent "or Governour." And, you know Sir, all Parents confider their children and all Governours their fubjects as mere Machines, necessarily and unavoidably impelled in all their motions !- In all the commands Parents give their children and all the laws Governours enjoin their subjects; in all the promises they make them of reward, in case of obedience, and all the threat nings they denounce of punishment in case of disobedience, Parents and Governours still consider their children and subjects as mechanical beings, thinking, speaking and acting at all times by absolute, unavoidable necessity! And therefore they always treat them as they treat their Clocks and Watches, and are no more or no otherwife displeased with, nor punish them for their disobedience or irregular behaviour, than they are displeased with and punish their Clocks or Watches for going wrong! And in all the Petitions their children or subjects present to them. their Parents or Governours still consider them as acting mechanically, and therefore hearken to their mechanical prayers just as they hearken to the firthing of a clock or the repeating of a watch! Nor is any petition ever granted by Parents to their shildren nor by Governours to their fubjects, but fuch. as was fixed and appointed from the beginning, or fuch as the laws of mechanism render necessary. and unavoidable!

6. The Doctor goes on "And having for our good assumed those characters (of a Parent and a Governour) he will certainly realize them by requiring of us, (though necessitated to the contrary!) such behaviour as wise Parents require of their children and wise Governours of their subjects. Now wise Parents often justly refuse to supply the wants of their children till they solicit for it with a proper temper of mind."

D 3:

Just as a wife man refuses to meddle with his Clock or employ any person to make any alteration in its parts or movements, till he hears it foliciting

an

W

ef

Gu.

kı

th

to

25

66

.

n

W

G

W

him by striking irregularly!

7. But " having confidered this subject of prayer " in his Institutes of natural and revealed religion," the Doctor forbears to enlarge upon it here and " only presents us with a different view that Mr. " Hobbes has given of the subject, on the supposition " of prayer not being the cause or the proper means. " of procuring any favour from God; his conduct " towards us being determined on other accounts." Mr. Hobbes must certainly be right in this view of prayer. For if the great Machine of nature be formed and all its motions fixed by immutable laws so that all things past, present and to come happenby absolute, unavoidable necessity, it is certain prayer cannot be " the cause or proper means of procuring any favour from God, his conduct towards us being determined on other accounts," even by the courfe of nature which he has established and the immutable laws which he has fixed. Let us therefore hear this gentleman, Mr. Hobbes, for he feems to have got hold on the right thread. "Thanksgiving (says he) " is no cause of the bleshing past, and that which is " past is fare and necessary; yet even among men "thanks are in use, as an acknowledgment of " benefits past, though we should expect no new "benefit for our gratitude, and prayer to God " Almighty is but thanklgiving for God's bleffings " in general; and though it precedes the particular "thing we alk, yet it is not a cause or mans of it, " but a fignification that we expect nothing from "God, but in such a manner as he, not we will."

8. This, Dear Sir, is furely the very thing: all things being fixed by immutable laws and the established constitution of nature having already made provision for every thing and determined every thing good

19

n

g

er,

22

ad

r.

on

ns.

Bi

3."

of

be

WS

en-

rer

ng

ng

erfe

able his

got he)

1 19

nen

ot

ws

God

ngs

ılar

f it,

rom

all

tab-

pro-

ood

good and evil, natural and moral, we must not pray we must only give thanks. For things to come are as fure and necessary as things past and our praying about them will neither be the means of altering them in the smalleft degree, nor of rendering them in any respect more fure or n ceffary. We have therefore only to acknowledge this their certainty and necessity; and this kind of prayer we may extend to all things, to things eil as well as good, to things m. ral as well as natural; and under the conviction we have that " whatever is, is right," and " that all things, past, " present and to come are precisely what the author " of nature really intended them to be," we may give thanks for vices as well as virtues, and praise God for every act of theft and robbery, adultery and murder that we fee committed from day to day. For these things are all right, and in them the will of God is exactly done. So that this is a wonderful improvement of the subject indeed!

g. Prayer used to be offered for things good but it may equally as well be offered for things evil; and whereas it was wont to be confined to the righteous or those that defined to be so, under a notion that God beareth not sunners, persisting in sin; it may with equal propriety be extended to the wicked; yea the Devil himself may pray, and persuaded that "Whatever is, is right" may say in the words

that Milton has put into his mouth

-" Evil be thou my good!"

And in this, the vilest of men may join with the vilest of angels (as indeed they do daily) and say drunkemess be thou my good! Whoredom be thou my good! Adultery and Murder be ye my good! A way of praying this, which they will not need to be much exhorted to, as it flows spontaneously from the constitution of their nature and indeed is, according to the doctrine we have now under consideration, necessary and unavoidable. And then,

what is a mighty advantage, to pray in this manner is always to pray with success! For nature must and will have its course and the immutable laws of

the fystem must and will be observed.

10. Indeed, if any were to be so ignorant or perverse as to set themselves to oppose this stream of nature, of law, fystem, appointment, determination, decree, fate, necessity, or whatever other name learned and philosophical Doctors may think proper to give it ;-if any were to presume to conceive a wish that any thing might be otherwife than it has been, is and is to be, and to pray that they might not feel the defires after wine and women, after fornication and adultery, theft and robbery, which they have felt and do feel, and were and are and shall be necessitated to feel; then, indeed in that case they would certainly pray without success; because they would pray amis; praying that they might not feel the lufts and paffions which according to the established constitution of nature and the fixed unalterable laws of the fystem. they are under an absolute, unavoidable necessity of feeling.

11. But let all pray, or (to speak more properly as Mr. Hobbes does,) give thanks aright and acknowledge that " the liberty of man in doing what he " will, is accompanied with the necessity of doing " what God will and no more nor less," and there-" fore whatever is, is right. "Let all endeavour to enter into the schemes of these great Philosophers, and, getting their minds expanded to take in this comprehensive system, " see God in every thing, "and every thing in God," and they will never pray in vain: they will always be heard and always answered! I do not say God will hear and answer them. No: there is no need that He or any intelligent being should interfere at all in the matter: The established constituti n of nature will anfwer them, the immutable laws of the system will answer

answer them; the fixed appointment of all things, fate or nec sty will answer them, and will answer them so certainly, that the constitution of nature, the laws of the system, and even fate and recessive themselves are not more fixed and certain than will be their answers to these wise and holy prayers, in which they have the honour of joining with Lord Kaims, Mr. Holbs, Mr. Hums, Dr. Pristley and divers other great and learned Philosophers, as also the bulk of mankind in all ages and even with Beings of an higher erder whom I will not name!

f

f

0

3

y: sf

12. It appears therefore, both from the light in which Dr. Prifley hath placed the subject of Prayer, and that in which Mr. Hobbes hath placed it, that we may quite agree with the Doctor and rest " satisfied (P. 102.) that it can only be in con-" sequence of some gross mis-stating of the case, if "the belief of the doctrine of necessity appear to " have in any respect, an unfavourable influence " upon the mind," for "that in a variety of respects, " it cannot but be apparent, it must have the hap-" piest and noblest effects imaginable;" viz. such effects as the constitution of nature and the laws of the lystem have appointed, fixed and rendered neceffary and unavoidable, that it should have; But the Doctor "purposely confines himself to what " has been thought most un promising in the system "that he has adopted, and what is generally ef-" teemed to be the dark and dangero's fide of the " principle. And if even this view of it be favour-" able to it," and its dark and dang rous fide fied fuch light and glory, and afford fuch protect on and faf. ty, " what may we not expect from other views of this doctrine, which all the world must allow " to be highly advantageous!" With this pleafing thought I conclude my letter, as the Dotter concludes his Section, and hoping that what you have already feen will excite your curiofity to look a little

little further into this improving fubject and that I shall obtain your permission to address you again npon it, I now release you, and am.

Reverend Sir

Your obedient servant,

Fire a surregalls at the Anton I with J. B.

Bergand an higher have whom I will not reme! in It appears therefore, both from the light in which Dr. 2rt ftly had placed the fall of eller Penalty often a state to the March of the transfer of the Control it, that we may quite agree with the Design and of a timbled for an it can be not be been type the with both to provide him though agreed by some steel " er have a since descript of necessary and at our re-" have in any respect, on his activities indicated " upon toemired. "flor " if at in a vericity of a species, is eaunot but he apparent, it must have the har-" proft and nobied clients maginable ? vis. folds effects as the configuration of matter and of a layer of to her han her and have been over mental enthey and essiving that I hard bever the the Declar " purpagely on three hinds. I want " has been thought mult a promising in the fullem that he has adopted, and what is generally efprinciple. And if even this view of i be favour. "able to in," and its dere at deleg rest field fired web lift and block it will be to the box best from appoint the law agost the page of the year before the grant of wolf from brow slifts draw and LETTEROV. is he highly nevertageous! With the pleasing From the Latter was lotter as the Doff r con-

elides his Siften, and hoping this what you have a feet of guldans may strike they are glosses . .

## LETTER V.

sitt. Wateric of barrelm notic doca

Reverend and dear Sir,

THE light and glory which the refined reasoning and conclusive arguments of Dr. Priestley had cast upon some of the "dark and unpromising "parts" of his system, induced me to six my attention upon some other of those parts, and I immediately perceived that he had shed a peculiar lustre upon a point, which before had appeared impenetrable as midnight. The point, I mean, is, how this doctrine of Materialism, Mechanism and Necessity could consist with what Reason and Scripture manifestly hold forth, and is taken for granted in all civilized nations in the world, and is the foundation of all civil government, viz. that mankind are accountable for their actions, and are proper subjects of rewards and punishments.

2. If man be a being wholly materal, thought I; if his very mind be nothing but a piece of mechanism; if all his motions be purely m chanical, neeffary and unavoidable; if all his determinations, and all his actions be appointed and fixed by unalterable laws, fo that they could not possibly be otherwise than they have been, are and are to be; how can it be proper or reasonable to give him rules, for the regulation of his conduct, to punish him when he breaks those rules, or to reward him when he ob-

ferves them?

While I thought on this subject and revolved it this way and that, in my mind, attracted (irr sitily) and neeffariy, no doubt) by the clear shining of Dr. Pristly's reasoning and the dazzling splendour of his argument, I cast my eye upon P. 73, of the

book often referred to already, viz. Philosophical Nicessity, and there found the difficulty cleared up

and the dark point elucidated.

3. " The objection to the doctrine of necessity " fays he) which has weighed the mos with those who " have confidered the subject, is, that if men's "determinations and actions flow necessarily " from the previous state (mechanism) of their " minds, and the motives or influences to which they are exposed, the idea of responsibility or accountable-" n fs vanishes, and there can be no propriety or " use of rewards or punishments." Now you must know Sir, that this objection to the doctrine arises, from our ignorance, or from not getting "our minds "expanded" fufficiently to take in all the parts of this large and comprehensive system, which perhaps, it may not be easy to do, as they may be "constructed" (as the Doctor's phrase is) upon a fmaller plan, and cast in a narrower mold than fuch capacious minds as those of Dr. Priefily, Dr. 3. bb, and the Reverend Theophilus Lindsey, not to mention Lord Kaims, Mr. Hume, and Dr. Hartley, the "Mechanism of whose minds" however is now taken to pieces again, so that, at present they contain no lystem or shred of any system whatever!

4. But, be this as it will (or as necessity requires) the Doctor (P. 74.) "makes it appear that when the "case is rightly understood, there can be no use "or propriety of rewards or punishments on any "other scheme but the greatest possible upon this." Did not I tell you, Sir, that he had the wonderful art of wresting the sword from the enemy's hand and fighting him with his own weapon; Of turning even a forcible objection into a convincing argument? Surely nothing will be impossible to this extraordinary genius! but he will be able to prove that even Immanuel, God with us, is but a

nen

Bui

bf I

y

not lou

fect

ng

me

the

ma

ne

COI

ble

1

16 1

4 ]

6

nere man, that is, on his principles, a mere machine, and that the Father of spirits himself is material! But after the evidence we meet with in every page of his ability in argumentation, and that he certainy proves every thing he undertakes, and afferts nothing which he does not demonstrate, we cannot loubt for a moment, his making this matter perfeelly clear. We shall doubtless be pleased with the ingenuity discovered in the invention and arrangement of his arguments, while we are instructed by the arguments themselves, and charmed with the mafterly reasoning, whereby with regular steps, he advances to the wonderful conclution, which oins extremes fo remote from each other, and reconciles things, fo univerfally deemed irreconcilable!

5. "In order to make this (matter) clearly apprehended (ibid.) he supposes two minds" (one mechanical and the other not) " constructed upon the principles of the two opposite schemes of "liberty and necessity; all the determinations of "the one being invariably" (and unavoidably) directed by its previous dispositions and the motives presented to it, while the other has a power of determining, in all cases, in a manner independent of any fuch previous disposition or mo-"tives;" which he takes to be " precisely the difference between the fystem of necessity and liberty, philosophically and strictly defined. To avoid circumlocution he calls the former A. and the latter B. He farther supposes himself to be a father and thele two his children, and knowing their inward make and constitution, considers ' how he should treat them." His object (observe "P. 75.) is to make them virtuous and happy:" which would feem to be an arduous undertaking with regard to his fon A, he being no more than a piece of mere mechanism, necessarlly and unavoidably impelled

7

f

0

an

00

66

16

24

Li j

66

as

eff

ble

..

fer

be

tal

m

cfl

ble

hi

ce

fice

an

be

D

of

br

ne

or

66

66

tic

fy

gn hi

66

.

impelled and determined in all his volitions and actions by furrounding objects and the ideas they occasion, and therefore, to appearance, as little capable of virtue as a billiard ball. As to the other, tho' his mind is "constructed" too, as his phrase is, yet as he is possessed of a felf-determining power and therefore is free to choose vice or witue, good or evil, the matter does not feem to be fo difficult. And yet (to convince us how incapable we are of judg. ing in fuch matters, and how far Dr. Prieftley's thoughts are above our thoughts!) the former is the only one with whom he fucceeds, while he can make nothing at all of the latter, the felf determining power counteracting all his endeavours and rendering his schemes abortive; at least, he can make nothing of him till, turning his foul out of his body, he wholly discharges that power and makes him as mere a machine as his elder brother.

6. But to proceed: " All his precepts and the whole " of his dicipline, therefore, are directed to that " end," viz. to make his two fons virtuous and happy " For the use of discipline is, by the hope " of fomething that the subjects of it know to be good, or the fear of fomething they know to be " evil, to engage them to act in such a manner, as " the person who has the conduct of that discipline "well knows to be for their good ultimately, " though they cannot see it. In other words, he "must make use of present good and present evil, in " order to fecure their future and greatest good; " the former being within the apprehension of his "children, and the latter lying beyond it and "being known to himfelf only." You will eafily observe, dear Sir, how exceeding applicable this just account of discipline is to a being purely mechanical fuch as his fon A! For as all his determinations and actions are appointed and fixed by immutable laws, so that they can only be as they are

and

hey

ittle

her,

e is,

and

And

adg-

ley's

the

can

ning

der-

ody,

n as

nole

that

and

ope be

o be

, as

line

tely,

he

, in

od;

his

and

afily

this

me-

rmi-

im-

arc

to

to be; as his virtue and happiness, or vice and misery are already determined, certain, necessary and unapoidable; it must be exceeding proper to endeavour "by the hope of fomething he knows to be good, or the fear of something he knows to be evil, to " engage him to act in fuch a manner as will be for "his good ultimately," and by "making use of " present good or present evil to secure " (as much as lieth in the Doctor) what was fe ured by the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the system, or rendered impossible, viz. " his future and greatest good!" And you will obferve too, that if virtue or vice can be faid to belong to a piece of mere mechanism, the undertaking, instead of being ardurus, as I said above, may happen to be very easy, if so be that the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the fystem be for instead of being against him. So that our prospect brightens upon us as we proceed, and instead of finding it a matter of great dif ficulty (as I thought) to make this elder fon virtuous and happy, it may chance to be impossible he should be otherwise!

7. But let us not take the business out of the Doctor's hands; he best understands the mechanism of his fon's mind and in what manner it may be brought to the proper level of virtue and happinels. "Now fince motives," (fays he ibid.) good or bad; " have a certain and necessary influence " on the mind of A. I know that the prospect of a good will certainly incline him to do what I "recommend;"-unless the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the system determine him to believe he shall attain still greater or to him more desirable good, by gratifying his luft, covetousness or ambition; and " that the fear " of evil will deter him from any thing that I wish to disfuade him from;" unless the same constitution E 2 of

of nature and the fame laws of the fystem represent it to him as a ftill greater evil to restrain his lusts and vices, "I bring him under the course of discipline above "described, with the greatest hopes of success;" nay offured of it, if the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the fystem be on my fide, but, if not, despairing of it. For "other "influences," fuch as those which have just been mentioned and which are the proper and fole causes of all our determinations and all our actions. of the Doft rs, in disciplining his son, and of his fon's, in improving by that discipline, " other "influences, may counteract my views, and there-"by my object may be frustrated" and this darling child A, beloved the more for being wholly made of matter, without fpirit) though possessed of no felf-determining and unruly power, be neither

E

V

C

1

a

V

l

1

V

1

a

F

1

Ł

victuous nor happy. 8. But notwithstanding this, (he assures us) " his discipline will likewise have its certain and "necessary effect," being one link in the strong adamantine chain of necessity, even such an effect as the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the system give it; "counter-"acting, in part at least," if the laws of the system, are fo framed, " all foreign and unfavourable influ-"ence," fave the influence of these laws, which is univerfal, conflans and irrefiftible, descending to the most minute event, and giving birth to every thought and defire, temper, word and work. "Every "promife, every threatening, every reward and " every punishment, judiciously" (I add or injudicioufly) " administered works to his end," as far as Necessity ordains, as a link in the indissoluble chain. And " if this discipline be sufficient to overcome "any foreign influence," suppose the influence of the above mentioned immutable laws (should they be against it) the point is gained, and without applying nt

d

ve

ay

re

ny

er

en

le

15,

of

er

e-

ng

lly

of

er

us)

nd

ng

ect

he

er-

m,

lu-

is

he

ry

ry

nd

di-

as

in.

me

ce

ley

p-

ng

plying to God at all or being beholden to him for any help in the matter, he "engages his son in a "train of proper actions;" in which "by means of the mechanical structure of his mind," possessed of no self-determining power to turn him aside to the right hand or to the left, he runs straight forward, till there be "formed a stable habit which "insures his success."

9. You fee therefore, dear Sir, he attains his end with respects to his son A. He is made both virtuous and happy, if the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the lystem. have rendered it necessary and unavoidable that he should be so: But if that constitution of nature, and these laws have ordained that he shall be vicious and miserable, he still will be so; and as he will be most inexcufable for not conquering that unconquerable constitution and relisting these irresistible laws, he will be most justly punished by Dr. Priestley the father of his stesh, on earth and Jehovah (I had almost said, the father of his spirit, but I recollect he has no fpirit) in Hell! Now Sir, we are to remember that the Doctor supposed the mechanism of the mind of hisson A, to be "constructed "on the very plan, on which he has shewn in his Philof. Difq. that the minds of all are constructed; what therefore he has fo fully demonstrated concerning his fon A. may with equal clearness be demonstrated of all mankinds. They all are or will be made both virtuous and happy, with (or without) proper discipline, if the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the fystem render it necessary and unavoidable that they should be fo! At present, indeed, appearances are against it; but what does not take place now may take place hereafter, and what prisons, gallowses and gibbets. do not effect on earth with regard to thele, it would feem, badly constructed Machines, the prison E 3,

and fire of Hell may effect with respect to those better contrived Machines which are to be built of incorruptible materials at the great refurrection-day. Though indeed when I recollect myself, I acknowledge the pieces of mechanism to be constructed at the day of judgment cannot be more regular in their motions than thefe, which have never fwerved an hair's breadth from the established constitutution of their nature, the immutable laws of the system and the wife and holy will of God. Nevertheless, Necessity so ordaining, they are to be demolished by death as a punishment of their irregularity, and to be raifed up again hereafter to be punished more severely in Hell, that by this means they may be forced into regularity and order! As I cannot afford to give you a great quantity at once of what is fo very precious, I break off here, and subscribe myself,

Reverend Sir,

Your obliged Friend con sar Sologonit to

to all of the Liber Elver com A mot and to here.

The round of all not be bed They all are or and

Quertie to the A.A. the tonatus and tone A to something bathless, of a property of a wife and the the notable a we of the believe render

ad blood with self ables over the bear alles and

Comment of the files, the pullen

1

bother room and Servant, and ri mosall and on the second of the secon

- act to attriction of the last of each of each

fi

W

66

C e

r

i

t

2

ni (Paistr und assautimente Liesber Balde salt von eter tele auf de la tele ete de LETTER VI.

# LETTER VI.

Reverend and dear Sir,

r

of

ł.

e

2-

e

15

S

ce

d

HAVING got a little leisure, I take up my pen again to remind you that (P. 76.) " in his " fon B. Dr. Priestley has to do with a creature of "quite another make," a creature that is not wholly material but partly spir tual; that has a foul in his body, and is possessed of a felf-determining power, a liberty of choice and action; A creature this, whole determinations and actions are not fixed and rendered necessary and unavoidable, but when he determines, he does it freely, having it in his power to determine otherwise, and when he alls. he acts free y having it in his power to act otherwise. "Motives" therefore though, if he be wife, he will attend to, confider and deliberately weigh them, yet " have no necessary or certain influence upon his de-"terminations." They do not influence him necessarily, because that would be contrary to that freedom which God hath given him: when he yields to their influence he does it under a consciousness that he might relift it, being under no unavoidable necessity of yielding to it. And, accordingly at one time he has yielded to, and at another, has relifted the very fame motive in the very fame circumstances. And motives have no. certain influence upon his determinations and actions, because that would imply their being mechanical and unavoidable which they are not.

2. Hence in many cases, as the Doctor expresses it, "it is exactly an equal chance whether his pro"mises or threatenings, his rewards and punish"ments determine his sons actions or not." Only when he promises him a reward in case of obedience, or threatens to punish him in case of disobe-

dience

m

ft

fil

W

th

ai

66

45

65

n

H

46

tl

6

fa

t

ŀ

dience, he knows he is not laid under an absolute in apacity of obeying, or an unavoidable necessity of disobeying, from the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the system. He knows when he obys, when he determines wisely and acts virtuously, he might have done otherwise, and that when he disobeys, determines soolishly and acts wickedly, he might have obeyed, and therefore that he himself, and not any established constitution of nature or immutable laws of the system, is the proper cause of his own wise or foolish determinations, and virtuous or vicious actions; acknowledging however the aid of divine grace in the one, and the influence of Satan's temptations in the other.

3. Now this being the case, my dull head, would have inferred here-from that this younger fon B. was responsible for his determinations and actions and a proper subject of rewards and punishments, and the other not. But Dr. Prieftley, whose thoughts are as far above my thoughts, as his lystem of Meterialism, Michanism, and Necessity is above any fystem I ever could have devised or imagined, Dr. Priefley (I fay) draws a directly contrary inference. And because this younger son B. has an intelligent and free spirit in him, and both determines and acts, not from unavoidable n.c. fity, but freely, having it in his power to determine and act otherwise, infers that he is not refponfible for his conduct nor a proper subject of rewards and punishments. But that the elder ion A. who, as we have feen, is a mere body without a feul, a mere piece of mechanism, all whose determinations and actions are as mechanical, as the motions of a clock, all appointed and fixed before he was born, necessary and unavoidable, that he and he alone, is responsible for his conduct and a proper subject of rewards and punishments.

lute

of

of

He

fely

ife,

and

orc

ion

the

na.

w-

ne,

the

ad,

ger

and

ifh-

tley,

as

(Tity

or

Ally

fon

and

able

ter-

ref-

of

A.

Eul,

na.

ons

was

one.

ject

But

4. But let the Doctor proceed and bring the matter to the defired conclusion; let him demons ftrate that without necessary there could be no r sponfibility and that if our determinations and actions were not mechanical and unavoidable, they could neither be praise-worthy and rewardable nor blam worthy and punishable. " This felf-determining power (fays. "he P. 77.) is not at all of the nature of any me-" chanical influence" (what a pity!) " that may " be counteracted by influences equally mechani-"cal, but is a thing with respect to which I" (a mechanical being and well skilled in estimating mechanical powers) " can make no fort of calcu-"lation, and against which I can make no pro-"vision," having no idea of any influence but that of matter upon matter, acting by mechanical laws. "Even the longest continued series of "proper actions willform no habit that can be [abfulutely and without divine grace) " depended upon, but "after all my labours and anxiety my object", viz. the virtue and happinels of my fon B. " is " quite precarious and uncertain; "unless I importunately beg of God to give him grace and he, in aniwer to prayer interpole and by his word and spirit enlighten his mind, that he may clearly see his duty to be his ha pinefs, and hereby fubdue his will and win his affections over to piety and virtue. not indeed necessarily and unavoidably, but rationally and freely; in which case his service being a freewill off ring, would be the less acceptable! whereas with respect to my son A, this is unnecessary because "all his influences being mechanical may " (as I can easily conceive) be counteracted by in-"fluences equally mechanical," fo that I need not alk of God any supernatural grace for him: nature alone can and will do all; can and will make him acceffarily and unavoidably virtuous and happy of vicious and miserable. 5. The

5. The Doctor goes on: " If we suppose that B. " is in some degree determined by motives," as every intelligent creature must be, suppose by a regard to the glory of God and his own good, only not neceffarily and unavoidably and much more not mechanical, " in that very degree and in no other, " is he a proper subject of discipline; and he can " never become wholly fo, till" (his foul being " his felf-determining turned out of his body) " power is entirely discharged and he comes to be " the same kind of being with A." confisting "wholly of matter as a river does of water or a "forest of trees." Hence the Doctor assures (ibid) that " had he the making of his own children (what " a pity he had not!) they should certainly all be " constituted like A." of mere matter, without spirit, that they might be as manageable as a billiard ball; "and none of them like B;" the felf-det.rmining power, being fo unruly and uncertain a principle of action, a principle, which a materialist, acquainted with no powers but fuch as are m chanical, can make nothing at all of. And hence we must infer, as the Doctor's judgment is undoubtedly right, and God's thoughts are his thoughts, that not only his children, but all mankind are most certainly constituted in this manner, and consist wholly of matter, all their thoughts, defires, tempers, words and actions, being mechanical, necessary and unavoidable.

6. Excuse me, dear Sir, if I interrupt the sweet story, to express a wish here, that the Doctor had been present when a plan was laid for the Creation of angels, that by his *Philosophical Disquisitions*, and predictions of "Corruptions" he might have prevented the Creation of beings possessed of a felf-determining power, who it seems, through that power, have introduced evil into God's works and both apostatized themselves and drawn mankind to be partakers

partakers in their apostacy! But alas the constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the system had determined that he should not then be born. But to return.

ot

r,

n

g

g

e

ng

a

d)

at

be

ut

rd

7-

n-

ac-

al.

ult

lly

not

yln

of

rds

ın-

eet

nad

ion

and

nt-

ter -

ver,

oth

be

7. " The discipline of A. (fays he P. 78.) will " have a fuitable influence upon all that are con-" stituted like him," that are wholly made of matter, as he is; "le that for their lakes," left they should miss of that virtue and happiness which the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the system render certain, or that they may escape that vice and misery which the same constitution of nature and laws of the system render necessary and unavo dable, I say "for their sakes, as well "as on account of A. himfelf, he ought to bring his "children under this falutary treatment. And thus all "the ends of discipline are answered, and rewards and " punishments have the greatest propriety, because "they have the fullest effect upon the doctrine "necessity; whereas it is evident they are absolute-" ly loft, having no effect whatever on the opposite " scheme," viz. that of liberty. These are the Doctor's own words, and as we may be perfectly fure of their certain touth and deep imto tance, I infer from them that the world has suffered much loss from Dr. Prieftley's not having flour shed in the early ages of mankind. For whereas in instituting civil government and ordaining laws enforced with fanctions, they have always proceeded on the fupposition, (now found to be false) of human libe ty and of a fe.f-dete mining power in man; it now appears by the clear reasoning and evident demonstrations of Dr. Prieftley, or at least by his plain and peremptory aff rtio:s, that they have "absolutely "lost all their labour, their discipline, rewards and " punishments having had no effect whatever!"

8. And now, dear Sir, as I am about to conclude my letter, and should be forry to leave you in grief; as a remedy for the distress which you must feel in reflecting how much unnecessary trouble Kings and Kingdoms, Judges and Senators have had, which they might have escaped had Dr. Prieftley appeared sooner; let me remind you of the grand and ture maxim of this fage Philosophy "Whatever is, is right:" And let me intreat you to thank the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the fystem, for referving him to bless the concluding part of the eighteenth Century of the Christian Æra; a period in which the same constitution of nature and immutable laws have well ordered that we should be born, and enjoy the reviving influence of his falutary doctrine! But let us not be content to rejoice in it ourselves only: let ut endeavour to diffuse the grateful and healing fragrance far and near. And if we can do no more, let us at least wish, that not only our own country, but that Kings and Kingdoms all over the earth would hearken to him and establish the whole plan of their government upon a new bottom, upon the threefold basis of Mat.r.a.ifm, Mechanism, and Necessity, and thereon erect so inianism by a law. But I forget myself: wishing is needless, and indeed would answer no end: They will be fure to do it, if the Motives be sufficiently strong, and the established constitution of nature so ordain; "all things " taft, prefent and to come being absolutely fixed," and it being " impossible any event should be otherwife than it has been, is, and is to be."

> I remain as usual, Reverend Sir,

> > Yours at command.

1. B.

uf

DW

n

0

b

P

0

ac

0

0

V

ien

a

LETTER VII.

Leader habital and alteral

### LETTER VII.

Reverend and dear Sir,

re:

of ny

to he to

ry

ne

ell

e-

lct

y:

ng

re,

out

ald

of

the

Ne-

But

eed

it,

ab-

ngs

d,"

er-

id.

B.

II,

10 flew you that I am not unmindful of the exhortation I made bold to give in the conusion of my last letter; but that I am willg to contribute my mite towards the spreading is most rational and comfortable doctrine, I now sit own to inform you, that, though the Doctor " does not think it necessary to add any more (P. 79.) on this subject:" yet " because this question has been rendered obscure by an unfair and improper manner of flating it, he gives another view of it, by which he hopes it will appear there is all the foundation we could with for a proper accountabliness and for praise and blame upon the doctrine of necessity, and not so much as a shadow of any real foundation for them upon any other fupposition; the boasted advantage of the doctrine of liberty, belonging in fact to the doctrine of neceffity only:" And he" is confident that his ideas on this subject are at the same time those of the vulgar, and agreeable to found Philosophy." For is evident the vulgar, one and all, confider emselves as mere machines, bodies without souls, whole determinations and actions are necessary nd unavoidable! and it is certain that found Philothy uniformly teaches, viz. in the writings of Lord aims, Mr. Hume, Dr. Prieftley and many others, at matter can think and has in itself a principle of f motion! The Doctor goes on "While those (the ideas) of the Metaphysicians, who have adopted a contrary opinion," (the opinion of an intelligent

intelligent Ipirit in man, possessed of a self-deter

it

ng 4

de

th

W

PI

av

e

VO

at

hd

cej

gl

DV

1 t

h

rie ei

P

hi

1

|D|

b

n

he

i

mining power) " are founded on a mere falacy."

2. Observe, Sir, how clearly the Doctor make "When I, or the world at large this appear. " (P. 80.) praise my fon A." (for doing what he could not avoid) "we tell him we admire his ex-" cellent disposition "-that is, the excellent me chanism of his mind, so admirably constructed that " all good motives have a certain and never failing influence upon it, always" (necessarily and unavoidably) " determining his choice to what i "virtuous and honourable;"—unless when the fame mechanism of his mind, necessarily and un avoidably determines his choice to what is vicious and diffraceful; " and that his conduct is not di " rected either by mere will," however intelligent and upright, "or the authority of any other person," however just and reasonable; but by the establish ed constitution of nature and the immutable law of the lystem, which render all that he does and fays necessary and unavoidable: so that his conduct good or bad (for it is either one or the other a necessity ordains) " proceeds from his virtuous (or vicious) disposition only," (that is from the good or bad mechanism of his mind) " and that his cogood (or bad) habits, are so confirmed that neither promifes nor threatenings are able to draw him " aside from his duty," or his fin, both being equally necessary and unavoidable!

3. Thus to compare great things with small, when I or the world at large praise a piece of ground, w fay we admire its excellent quality, in confequence of which all good cultivation has a certain and never failing influence upon it, always caufing to bring forth good fruit; and that its fruitfulned proceeds from its own good quality only, &c. For as the ground and the boy act equally mechanically it is proper they should both be praised in similar

nguage.

ter

"

ke

arge

he

ex-

meted

eve

at is

un

10u

t di

igen

on,

lifh

law

and

dud

er a

s (or

t his

him being

when

d, w

uena

a and

ing i

· For

ically

4. "In this reprefentation the Doctor is confident that he keeps back nothing that is effential, the ideas of mankind never going beyond this. when they praise any person;" for they never prose that the persons they praise could possibly ave done otherwise, could possibly have omitted e good they do, or have committed the evil they void: They always believe and take for granted, at when a man acts honestly and does generous. nd liberal actions, he is actuated by unavoidable ceffety; and that when a man breaks into his ghbour's house and cuts his throat, he was so owerfully and necessarily impelled by the establishconstitution of nature and the immutable laws the system that he could not possibly have done herwife! "And philosophically speaking," Dr. riefley affures us their ideas ought not to go any furer. "For praise that is founded on any other principle," (suppose the principle of free agency, hich implies that he who acts virtuoully, had it, his power to have acted viciously,) " is, fays the Doctor, really absurd and if it were understood by the vulgar would be reprobated by them." (as. abfurd things always are!) "as certainly repugnant to their conceptions of it." For they are, he and all clearly of opinion, a man ought not be praifed for doing any thing, unless his doing it were unavoidable, and he acted from absolute, resistible necessity! Such is the conclusive reasong of Dr. Prieftley! Such the arguments that carry nviction to the minds of thousands! Surely, Sir, u feel their force, and like all the world, will come a Materialist, Necessarian and Socinians fore you die!

5. But let us hear the Dollor out. "This will clearly appear (fays he) by confidering the cafe

F 2

of my fon B. We have supposed (P. 81.) the "A. has done a virtuous action, and has been com-"mended," (as a piece of ground is commend ed) "because it proceeded from the " (necessary unavoidable) "bent of his mind to virtue," (the mechanism of his mind being so constructed that he fhould have that bent) " fo that whenever proper " circumstances occurred, he necessarily did what " we wished him to have done," and could no more do otherwise, than a tree formed to grow ftraight can grow crooked. "Let us now suppose " that B. does the very same thing: but let it be 4º fully understood that the cause of his right deteres mination was not," the established constitution of nature or the immutable laws of the fystem constructing the mechanism of his mind so that it me ceffarily and unavoidably had "a bias or disposition er in favour of virtue, or because a good motive" necessarily and unavoidably "influenced him to de "it; but (let it be understood) that his determinaof tion was produced by fomething within himfelf " call it by what name you please," (suppose an inintelligent and free spirit, possessed of a felf-determining power or liberty of choice and action) "of a quite "different nature," from any mechanical impulse " with respect to which motives of any kind have " no fort of" necoffitating, compelling " influence of " effect !- and I apprehend" as he acted right without being necessitated to do it, when he might have acted wrong, " he would no more be thought " a proper subject of praise (fays the Doctor) not " withstanding he should do what was right than "the dice which by a fortunate throw should give " man an estate."

6. But here I must beg leave to correct a little mistake in the comparison the Doctor has made use of, to illustrate his argument. B. we are to remember is supposed to be possessed of an intelligent

th

di

by

bl

is

na

ha

th

to

ar

th

in

W

an

by

th

it/

46

ni

in

ce

pe

"

ne

a

av

hi

be

m co

uf

n

h

that

om.

nd.

ary

(the

t he

per

hat

no

row

t be

ter-

tion con-

t ne-

tion

ve" o do

ina

felf.

n in-

ning

uite

ulic

iave

e or

right

ught

not

than

ive

little e ule

re-

agent

and

and free spirit or a self-determining power: To make the simile proper therefore, we must suppose the dice to possess this principle; and, not to be thrown by another, (in which cale it would rather refemble the elder ion A. who never throws himself, but is always thrown by the established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the fystem, having no more felf-determining power in him than a billiard ball) I fay we may suppose the dice to throw itself—as B. always determines himself, and then it would read thus, " B. would no more be thought a proper subject of praise, notwithstanding he should do what was right, than the dice which, possessed of an intelligent and free spirit and acting from confideration and choice, should, by fortunately throwing itself, give a man an estate." "It is true (adds the Doctor) the action was right," the throw was fortunate, " but " (as the dice threw itself and was not thrown by another) "there was " not the proper principle and motive, which are "the only just foundations of praise," viz. materialism, mechanism, and necessity, without which, in the Doctor's account, there can be nothing excellent or praise-worthy in any person or thing!

7. So that you fee, Reverend Sir, the matter is perfectly clear. (P. 84.) "Punishment would have "no propriety or use, upon the doctrine of philosophical interfy," but only on that of philosophical necessity, it being always quite improper to punish a man for doing a thing, when he might have avoided doing it, and only then proper to punish him when his doing it was unavoidable, and it being always quite useless to punish people for committing crimes when they are at liberty to avoid committing them for the future, and only then useful to punish them when they are not at liberty in that respect, and the punishing them can do them no good! The reason is, the same established.

F 3

ee

but

hin

ort

ity

ha

of y

or

rar

cul

ger

of.

ee

mi

aga

hr

m

un. gil

me

me

fm

66

al

W

ai

m

b

te

constitution of nature and immutable laws of the fystem which insure their committing crimes, insure also their being punished for those crimes, the one being as necessary and unavoidable as the other, both being linked together in the adamantine chain

of necessity!

1. And here let me stop to congratulate the happy state of my fellow creatures, born under this excellent "constitution of nature" and subject to these admirable "laws of the system," which first necessitate them to commit crimes and then to be punished for those crimes, which ordain them first to unavoidable sin on earth and then to unavoidable torment in Hell! Oh! ye thieves, highway. men, robbers, murderers, who are confined in crowds in Newgate, and are expiring in troops at Tyburn, I congratulate your happiness! Your crimes and your punishments were to you equally unavoidable, equally indiffoluble links of one chain! The established constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the fystem rendered it necessary you fhould do those things and then be hanged and gibbeted for them! "Your liberty in doing what "you would" (supposing in picking men's pockets, breaking into their houses, and cutting their throats) was always accompanied with the necessity of " doing what God would and no less nor more." Nay and you " never had any appetite, passion or " temper of which God's will was not the cause!" And now you are hanged and gibbeted for fulfilling the irrefiftible will of God, and obeying the fundamental laws of the fystem! But this need not distress you, for you are but bodies without fouls! mere pieces of mechanism! and whether a clock has gone well or ill, its maker has a right to take it down when he will and how he will, and put its parts to what use he pleases, even to refine them in the hercest fire, if he see fit so to do. I wish indeed the

one

er,

ain

the der

ject

ich

to

em oid-

ay.

in

s at

our

ally

in!

im-Tary

and

hat ets,

ats)

of

re."

fe!"

lfil-

the

not

uls!

e it

t its

a in

in-

eed that you were as devoid of feeling as a Clock. But it is, as it is, and you must be content! One hing Dr. Prieftley can affure you of, for your comort: you shall drop into a state of utter infensibiity the moment the halter has done its office, and hall remain in that state, perhaps for some hundreds of years! Would to God you might remain in it or ever! But that cannot be. Either in the ordipary courfe of nature, and in consequence of the peruliar fituation the earth will be in, through the general conflagration, or by an extraordinary act of omnipotence, (the Deltor is not certain which ee Difq. P. 239.) you shall again spring out of the earth, like mushrooms, the mechanism of your minds will be restored, and you must be tried over again at the bar of another judge for crimes which, hrough the established constitution of nature and the mmutable laws of the fystem, were to you absolutely inavoidable, and for which you were hanged and gibbeted on earth, but for which this Judge, much more terrible and fevere than he before whom you trembled at the Affizes, will doom you to punishment much more dreadful, even to a torment the smoke of which ascendeth up for ever and ever!

g. But to return. "Blame also upon the same scheme (viz. of liberty, P. 84.) would be equally absurd and ill-founded." As it would be quite absurd and ill-founded to blame any man for doing what he might have avoided: and only reas nable and profer to blame a man for doing what he could not avoid! "For, if my shild A." who you remember is a mere machine and all his actions purely mechanical) "acts wrong, I tell him, that" in as much as he was not at liberty to act o herwise, "I am exceedingly displeased, because he has shewn a disposition," (that is mechanism) "of mind," to him unavoidable, "on which motives to virtue have no sufficient influence; that he appears to have

46

66

66

1

ſ

b

"have fuch a propenfity to vicious indulgencies, "that I am afraid he is irreclaimable," the estabhished constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the fystem having so ordained, and "that his " utter ruin will be the consequence." Exactly so, if this same child A. happen to be ill of a dropfy, the Doctor tells him "he is exceedingly displeased because he has shewn a disposition of body on which medicines have no fufficient influence, and that he appears to have fuch a propenfity to dropfical complaints, he is affraid he is incurable and that utter loss of health or even death will be the consequence." For the case is entirely parallel; the fin is as unavoidable as the fickness, and this mechanical child A. can no more help the one than the other.

10. Accordingly, the Doctor tells us (P. 115.) "The distinction between things natural and moral, " entirely ceases on the scheme of necessity, and "the vices of men come under the class of com-"mon evils," fuch as dropfies or fevers, " pro-" ducing mifery for a time; but like all other evils in the same great fystem, are ultimately subservient. " to greater good." The Doctor's displeasure therefore at his fon A. is of the fame kind whether he fins or is fick, whether he tells a lye, or is ill of a fever, and he expresses his displeasure in similar language. And in as much as all parents do the same, therefore the point is proved, the doctrine is afcertained, and Blame, as well as praise " is absurd and ill-founded" on any scheme except that of Materialifm, Mechanifm and Necessity.

"B. be attended to," viz. that he is possessed of an intelligent and free spirit, a filf-determining power," it will be seen that blame is equally absurd as "punishment is unavailing—It is true that he has "done what is wrong, and it must have had bad "consequences

ies,

ab-

ble

his

fo,

fy, fed

on

nd

p-

nd

he.

el;

his

ne

5.)

al,

bc

n-

0-

in

nt

e-

18

a

1-

e,

r-

d

of

n

S

S

" consequences; but it was not from any bad diffe-" fition (the Doctor should have faid Mechanism as " before) of mind, that made him subject to be (un-"avoidably) influenced by bad impressions. No: "his determination had a cause of quite another "nature. It was a choice" (which he was under no kind of necessity of making, being unavoidably fubject to be) " directed by no bad motive whatever, but a mere will acting independently of any," (established constitution of nature or immutable laws, necessitating him to be influenced by such) "motive-My blame or repreaches therefore, being "ill-founded," because his fault was avoidable, whereas, had it been unavoidable they would have been well founded, "and being incapable of having " any effect," because it is not absolutely fixed that they shall not have any, " it is my wisdom to with-"hold them," and not blame my fon B. for doing wrong, when he was at perfect liberty to do right! 12. How fully the Doctor is fatisfied with the admirable clearn is of his own reasoning upon this subject and the irrefistible force of his arguments appears from the following paragraph (P. 86.) "If "this be not a just, impartial and philosophical " state of the case, I do not know what is so: And " by this means it appears that the doctrine of the " necessary influence of motives upon the mind of man, " makes him the proper subject of discipline, re-" ward and punishment, praise and blame, both in " the common and philosophical use of the words; " and the doctrine of f.lf determination entirely dif-"qualifies a man for being a proper subject of "them." In other words, if a man's determinations and actions be neceffary and unavoidable, he is a proper subject of praise or blame, reward or punishment; but if he be a free agent, determining and acting freely and not from unavoidable necessity, he is not so, not a proper subject of praise or blame, reward ward or funishment. Such is the conclusion to which Dr. Prieftley wishes to bring us, and such is the evidence upon which he establishes it! We have feen the case fairly and philosophically stated, we have heard the strongest arguments which can be brought in defence of it; and now if we are not convinced we must remain irreclaimable!

I am,

Reverend Sir

The control of the co

क उद्योगित हो। ये प्रकार के स्वत्य में असी "

Land Thinks among the

enteredo desir al colonidad de sensitado en esta en es The state of the state of the same of the

tier before the construction of the first Ling free, and of the mark or latte is coldin. e de Jan vers groger unigend de la contraction a

Your's, &c.

ta

th

0 f

2

k

LETTER, VIII.

#### LETTER VIII.

Reverend and dear Sir,

oil and with he are it

h

eee

T would hardly escape your observation in read-1 ing my former letters, that Dr. Prieftley every where confounds discipling with punishment, and takes it for granted that they are the same thing; that when a man is executed for murder upon a gibbet, it is as really an act of discipline, intended for his amendment, as when he is put into the house of correction for pilfering; and that when an obstinate, hardened sinner is bid to depart accurred into everlasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels, this is as truly deligned to reclaim him, as when, for involuntary failings, and deplored, acknowledged faults, a true child of God is chastized by the rod of paternal love, that he may not be cond micd with the ungodly! But however true it may be that the punishment of criminals on earth, may be contrived and defigned by the wisdom and benevolence of human governments, and the punishment of impenitent finners in Hell, by the divine Government, for the good of others, men or angels; yet it may require a greater fund of ingenuity and learning than even Dr. Priefley is possessed of, to prove that justice and mercy are the same thing in God. and in man, and that punishments are always defigned for the good of the parties punishea!

2. But "it is faid (adds the Doctor P. 86.) that the nature of remorfe implies a felf-determining power:" that is, that our feeling remorfe of confirme or our reproaching our felv's, on account of committing any fault or crime, implies that we had it in our power not to have committed it. But the

Doctor

for

S

as 1

pt

po

wi

tha

octi

ect

no

e 0)

ave

ear

ny ece

ora

ble

4

ro

n

in

h

H

fh

W

18

d

na

ig

et

C

Doctor is fure " that this is no other than the fame " deception that he has explained before. For " blaming ourselves or blaming another are things of " the very fame nature and depend upon the fame "principles." And we have feen that we are only to blame another for fin, in the same sense in which we blame him for fickness, his fin being as much the necessary result of the bad mechanism of his mind (or arrangement of matter in his brain) as his ficknefs is the refult of the bad constitution of his body. As therefore the being grieved that we ourselves or others are fick, does not imply a felf-determining power whereby we or they might chuse whether we would be fick or not; fo by parity of reason, the being grived that we fin in any instance does not imply that we had it in our power not to have finned in that instance.

3. The doctor explains and proves this: 34 The " fense of self-reproach and shame is excited by our "finding that we have a disposition (mechanism) " of mind leading to vice, and on which motives " virtue, in particlar cases, have had no influence:" just as grief is excited in finding we have a constitution of body leading to fickness, and on which medicines, for the recovery of health, have in certain cases had no influence. "If (P. 87.) I " blame myfelf for any thing elfe, viz. for not ex-" erting a felf-determining power, by which I may " fuppose that I might have acted otherwise, inde-" pendently of the previous disposition (mechanism) " of mind and the motives then present to it," (when the liquor sparkled in the glass, or the harlot smiled) " the idea is not at all adapted to exite any proper remorfe. For it has been shewn" (and with what demonstration we have feen!) "to " afford no foundation for blame whatever, and in " the nature of things cannot possibly do it." As it is in the nature of things impossible it should afford

10

or

of

e

y

h

le

d

ŧ.

y.

r

g

er

1,

es

35

e

ır

1)

es

27

i-

h

n

I

(-

ÿ

-

1)

r-

e

d

0

n

t

d

ford any foundation for blameing a man when he is drunk or commits lewdness, to know that he as under no necessity of acting so, but might have pt himself chaste and sober. "For on this supposition (that is, that he might have done otherwife) there is nothing vicious or blame-worthy, that is the proper cause of the action" (The offer must mean nothing wrong or defective in the echanism of his mind) "but something that bears no fort of relation to morality," viz. the wrong e of his liberty, the acting wrong when he might This, according to the Doctor, ave acted right. ears no fort of relation to morality! Nor indeed by thing except materialism, mechanism and ecessity, nothing according to his doctrine being oral except what is mechanical, necessary and unaviidble!

4. But how does Dr. Priestley prove that the rong use of one's liberty bears no fort of relation morality? why "morals (lays he) depend upon inward dispositions of mind and good or bad habits," which, we are to remember, are the neeffary and unavoidable confequence of the estabshed constitution of nature and the immutable ws of the system; "but this self-determination is a thing capable of counteracting all dispositions and all habits, and not by means of contrary dispositions and contrary habits," necessarily and navoidably introduced, (in which case one ight bear with it) "but by a power of quite another nature;" a power implying that he who etermined foolishly and acted wickedly, might, by spending his volitions and actions till he had condered, and by applying to God in prayer for ght and aid, have determined and acted otherwife, nd to this power the Doctor is confident, "approbation or disapprobation, in a moral sense, praise or blame cannot possibly belong."

5. "A man indeed (P. 88.) when he reproaches "himsef

"himfell for any particular action in his past coa "duct, may fancy that if he was in the same situ " tion again he would have acted differently. Bu " this is a mere deception, and if he examines him " felf strictly and takes in all circumstances, he may "be fatisfied that with the same inward dispos "tion," (mechanism) "of mind, and with pro "cifely the same views of things he then had (which to him were unavidable) " exclusive of a "others he has acquired by reflection fince, h "could not have acted otherwise than he did "But will this conviction," (viz. that as he wa circumstanced he could not have acted otherwill than he did) "at all lessen his sense of grief of "fhame?" Many will suppose it will, but the Doctor is fure that "on the contrary it will only " more fully fatisfy him that his disposition " (me chanism) " and habit of mind at that time were " bad that the vicious action was unavoidable And the fense which he now has of this deplorable " state of (the mechanism of) "his mind;" no through any fall of his first parents, (which the Doctor wholly disbelieves) but through the establishment lished constitution of nature and the immutable laws of the fystem formed by God, "will operate, if those laus and that system so ordain, " to make "him act better and become better disposed for the " future, so that upon another similar occasion h " would not do what he did before," that is, Ifa again, if it be so appoint d and fixed, in the confi tution of nature and laws of the fystem; for th must and will be obeyed in all things, great and small, nor can any determination or action, though or defire, temper, word or work be otherwise than the have fixed and appointed.

6. And now, my dear Sir, is it not apparent to any body, how well calculated this most reasonable and serf Et's confist nt doctrine is, to filence all the

in min

clamou

u

no

ee

iı

n

h

W

I

C

C

tl

g

0

le

tl

n

Ca

0

C

0

gı

tı

ve

tl

tl

C

ti

p

b

coa

Bu

him

ma

pol

pro

rad,

of al

, he

wile

ef a

t the

only

(me

ere

lable

rable

no

h the

estab

itable

raie,

mak

er th

on h

I far

conft

r th

it and

hough

n the

rent to

fonan

all th

moun

amours of an accusing conscience and allay all ur foreboding fears of divine vengeance! All our eterminations and all our actions are appointed nd fixed by immutable laws: They are all n coffaand unavoidable: They could not pollibly have een otherwise than they have been: "Our liberty in doing what we would was always accompanied with the necessity of doing what God would, neither less nor more." Nay " we have never had any passion, will or appetite of which God's will was not the cause" "Whatsoever is, is right:" Sin is as right as fickness: P. 115. comes under the class of common evils, producing milery for a time, but like all other evils in the fame great system, is ultimately subservient to greate: good. In this light therefore every thing without distinction may be fafely ascribed to God." For " whatever terminates in good, philolophically speaking, is good." And though this is a view of moral evil which, however innocent and even uf-ful in speculation, no wise man can or would choose to act upon himself, because our understandings are too limited for the application of fuch a means of good;" yet "a being of infinite knowledge may introduce it with the greatest advantage." And "whether we be virtuous or vicious (P. 116.)—it will be equally a necessary part of the whole." Nay if we have ven fallen into great and grievous crimes, still we eed not be uneasy, for (P. 125.) "According to the most fundamental laws of nature and indeed the v.ry nature of things, great virtues in some could not be generated, or exist, but in conjunction with great vices in others; for it is this opposition that not only exhibits them to advantage but even, properly speaking, creates them." 7. In perfect confistency with this, the Doctor ures us (P. 122.) " it is well observed by a writer.

" who

6.

L

wi

an

pu

m

lin

be

h

ot

O/

in

h

a

" who calls himself Search, Moral evil, were no evil " if there was no natural evil," that is if God would be fo indulgent as to fuffer our fins to go unpunished there would be no evil in them; a fentiment to which most thieves and robbers, adulterers and man devers will readily subscribe: They will readily be lieve that there would be no evil in the crime they have committed, if magistrates on earth, and God in heaven would but omit to punish them And with them, as with this Mr. Search and Di Prieftley "it is a natural evil," the punishment annexed to fin, and not fin itfelf, "that creates the difficulty," and they are perhaps willing to allow too, that "the " quality of this (natural) evil is the same from "whatever causes it may arise." And if the could but get rid of this and escape fuffering, they could eafily dispose of the fin. They could at least fay with Mr. Hume, (in words which I rather wonder Dr. Prieftley should find fault with (P. 118.) as they are inseparably connected with his doctrine " upon the scheme of necessity human actions ca " either have no turpitude at all, as proceeding " from so good a cause, (the Deity) or if they can " have any moral turpitude, they must involve ou "Creator in the same guilt, while he is acknow " ledged to be their ultimate cause and author." 0 if they chuse rather to say with Dr. Priestley (P. 117 that "our supposing God to be the author of in " as upon the scheme of necessity he must in fact " be the author of all things, by no means implied "that he is a finful being;" yet on the principle above described, they will easily be able to shake off remorfe on account of fin and rest assured that in finning, they have done no great harm.

8. And methinks, dear Sir, if at any time we feel any forrow or distress for sin, it can only be owing to our not adopting the Dostor's scheme of as he says to his friend, Dr. Jebb, in the Dedication

he not getting our minds "fully expanded to conceive and act up to the great principle afferted in : "his treatife," or not being " careful," as he there xhorts his friend, "to strengthen and extend these "great and just views of this glorious system." Leaving you, Sir, to confider what great advantages will accrue to us by taking the Doctor's exhortation. and to reflect that, had this wonderful fystem been published to mankind in the early ages of the world. many hundreds and thousands of broken hearted inners, would have been faved much unnecessary rouble and diffres: which however could not be. because the established constitution of nature and he immutable laws of the fystem had fixed matters otherwise: and leaving you to congratulate our own age and nation upon the happiness it enjoys. in being, through that established constitution and these immutable laws, destined to be so prolific of fage I hi ofo hers and found Divines, who enli hten , and biefs it by their great and glorious discoveries:

I remain

evil

ould

ifhed

at to

mar.

y be-

imes

and hem.

Dr

exed lty,"

es the

from

they

they

ather

rine s car

eding car

e ou

now

117

of final factoring factori

ly be ne or ation the

Your obedient servant,
In him whose blood alone
Frees from condemnation,
JOSEPH BENSON.

G.3 LETTER IX.

#### LETTER IX.

Reverend and dear Sir,

F a prospect of the advantages to be derived from this famous fystem will not induce us to venture with the Doctor into his philosophical Balloon, or persuade us to take hold on the three links of his chain, Materialism, Mechanism and Necessity, that he may draw us up above "the gross corrup-" tions of Christianity," those fogs and mists which darken the prospects of vulgar minds ;-if we fill refuse to ascend with him to a situation so elevated, though thereby our views of men and things, of causes and effects would be enlarged and cleared in a manner hardly to be conceived by us, while we stand on this low level of common faith, termed Orthodoxy; yet methinks, while we keep our station on firm ground, we may, without danger of broken bones or diflocated limbs, follow him with our eyes a little longer, that, if possible, we may mark the height to which he foars, the point where he terminates his aerial voyage and the use he makes of his fublime discoveries.

2. You will not wonder, dear Sir, confidering this strange and wonderful system, such, I think, as sew, if any before himself, ever attempted to reconcile with Christianity, that "many of the Doc-" tor's Philosophical acquaintance (as he tells us "Phil. Nec. P. 200.) treat with a good natured re-" dicule his profession of Christianity: " and tho' (as he says) he may "either argue the case with "them seriously, or smile in his turn at their redicu-" ling him;" yet, methinks, he will not easily convince them or any body else, who knows what Christianity

Christianity is, that there is the least similarity between his principles and the grand fundamental doctrines of the religion of Jesus, as they have delivered them unto us, who, from the beginning, were eye-

witnesses and ministers of the word,

red

to

al-

aks

ity,

upich

Aill

ed,

of

in

we

ned

sta-

of

ith

nay

ere

kes

ing

nk,

to

OC-

us re-

ho'

ith

cufily

hat

ruty

3. But that is of little importance, for " to repeat "what he has faid on a former occasion, he can " truly fay (Pref. P. 22.) that if he were to take " his choice of any metaphylical question to defend " against all oppugners, it should be the doctrine " of Philosophical Necessity: There is no truth of "which he has less doubt and of the ground of " which he is more fully fatisfied, (not that, I prefume, of the being of a God.) " Indeed there is no. " abfurdity more glaring to his understanding than "the notion of philosophical liberty." Under these strong, therefore, and according to his own system. irrefistible impulses which bear away his mind like a billiard ball, what are the grand doctrines of Christianity, though founded on the inspiration of Evangelists and Apostles, that they should be able to make refistance, or to oppose so great a Dottor, in so fwift a motion, towards to glorious an object, the converting all flesh to So.in.anism! Alas! the very foundation of these is undermined and they are thrown down in one moment! For whatever deference might be due to the authority of the Evangelists, and Apostles as speakers, concerning which Dr. Priestley cannot pronounce positively as he never heard them, yet, "he has frequently avowed "himself not to be a believer in their inspiration, "as writers," and therefore among other things " holds the fubject of the miraculous conception "to be one, with respect to which any person is "fully at liberty to think, as the evidence shall ap-" pear to him." Lets. to Dr. Harfely.

4. By these views, it is plain, the Doctor is directed in all his Theological researches; and therefore

fore when he thinks the inspired writers " reason "inconclutively," (as he is perfuaded the Apostle Paul in particular often does, See Hift. of Co. Vol. 11. P. 370.) he pays no kind of deference to their authority. And in the general, in making quotations from them, he takes as much as he likes, or as fuits his scheme, and leaves the rest. When their fentiments happen to tally with his own on any point, then he appeals to them and lays great firefs upon their declarations. But when it is otherwise, as it generally is, Who are they, these illiterate, unplilof phical fish rmen, who never fpent a day in their lives in the study of mathematical or metaphysical truth, that their opinions should have any weight, when laid in the ballance against the profound Erudition and fage philosophy of Jos. Priefley L. L. D. F. R. S. and his two learned and deep thinking friends, John J. bb, M. D. and the Rev. Theophilis Lindsey? not to mention Mr. Hobbis, Lord Kaims, or Dr. Hartley, their predecessors in the glorious cause of materialism, mechanism, and neceffity?

5. But to return. As it is the Doctor's "firm per-" fuation (Ph.l. Difg. P. 3561) that Materialifin, Socinian fm and Necessity, are equally parts of one of fystem, and that whoever duly considers their " connexion and dependence on one another, will "find no fufficient confistency in any general "scheme of principles that does not comprehend "them all;" fo, "having advanced what has oc-"curred to him in support of all the three parts of "this lystem, he is confident that in due time the "truth (as he calls it) will bear down before it "every opposing prejudice, how inveterate foever, " and gain a firm establishment in the minds of all ".men." As the minds of all men, are, according to his doctrine, nothing but pieces of mechanism, moved by mechanical laws, fo the Doctor, it feems, having

having got a thorough infight into these curious Machines, and having, no doubt, long ago attained a perfect knowledge of Mechanics, is enabled by proper computations, concerning the force of motives, &c. to pronounce that by and by (he does not positively say when) all these Clocks will strike together and give three harmonious sounds, causing surrounding spheres to eccho, with Materialism, Socini-

anifm, and Necessity.

6. In the mean time, till that happy day arrive when all flesh shall see (shall I say this great salvation? nay rather let me fay) this dreadful degradation of our rational and immortal nature, and all Adam's posterity shall confess, with one voice, that they have no pre-eminence above the beafts of the earth, the trees of the wood, or the water of the river: the learned Doctor to apply as far as possible and make every present use that can be made of these levers and pullies, which his philosophical lystem contains, to heave from the mechanical minds of men some of these gross corruptions of Christianity, which, no doubt, clog their motions, and prevent so many of them from keeping pace with Dr. Prieftley and his learned aflociates in their swift progress in making discoveries;—the Doctor (I tay) affures us (Difq. P. 835.) that "it is a capital advantage of the doctrine of " Materialism, that it leaves no shaddow of support " for the doctrine of (Christs) Pre-existence," which he calls " the injudicious exaltation of our Savi-"our." For P. 355. "That man is wholly ma-"terial is eminently subservient to the doctrine of "the proper or mere humanity of Christ. For "(they are his own words) if no man has a foul "distinct from his body, Christ, who in all other " respects appeared as a man could not have had a "foul" (nor, as he means, any nature whatever) " which had existed before the body." And therefore

fore, like other men, could be no more than a piece of mere mechanism, a well contrived machine, all whose motions were mechanical, necessary

and unavoidable.

7. The Doctor has now got the Son of God, the Saviour of finners, the Prince of the Kings of the earth, as low as he could wish him. He has made him a mere piece of Clock work, thinking, speak. ing and acting, in all things and at all times, by certain mechanical laws, which he could not poffibly refift, and though as innocent of evil, yet as incapable of good, of piety or of virtue, as the wheel of a cart, or the flux and reflux of the tide. And to bring him low the Doctor is determined, for he has two strings to the bow which he draws (I hope ignorantly) against Immanuel, God with us, who how little foever the Doctor may be aware of it, both views his conduct and prays (as of old) "Father, forgive him for he knows not what "he does!" For (Difq. P. 356) "should the " Doctor have failed (of which, however, he entertains not the least doubt)"in the proof of the materia ity " of man, arguments enough remain independent of "this, to prove the non-pre-existence of Christ," and that he is but a mere man, not fo much as conceived in any extraordinary way, but begotten like other men, (only before proper wedlock) and as

8. And now, dear Sir, the way is perfectly cleared for doing all that remains to be done, for removing the remaining "gross errors, (as he calls them) which he tells us, (P. 335) "have gotten "the name of Calvinism, such as Original sin, the "Atonement of Christ," and the Instuence of divine grace upon the soul. For man being only a mare body, without a sul, a mere piece of mechanism, certainly can have no more sin, original or actual, than the trees of the field. And God,

having

having formed him what he is, and constituted the whole chain of causes and effects, and set the machine agoing, furely could never be displeased with any of the motions, of which he was the fole and continual Author. Hence no aton ment for fin could ever be wanted, it being impossible God should ever be offended with his own work. And then Christ himself, whom his followers have fondly suppoled to be a Mediator b. tween God and man, fo far from being worthy of being "advanced (as he ex-" presses it P. 279.) to the high rank of the first and " principle emanation of the Deity, the vous or hoyos " of the Platonists,' and the Snurveyos under God " in making the world;" Christ himself, I say, being no more than a mere mechanical Being, without any proper liberty of choice or action could never be able truly to fay, Sacrifice and offering t'ou would ft not, a body hast than ir pared me, lo I c me to do thy will O! God, by offering up that body; could never voluntarily, undertake our cause, suffer in our stead and atone for our fins.

9. And as to the fei it of God visiting the fouls of men, men have no fouls to be vifited by that spirit; they are mere matter and it is not conceivable by the Doctor how it is possible for spirit to act upon Mater. Nay "had the question (P. 160.) been "confidered with due attention, what has been "called a difficulty would (he doubts not) have "been deemed an impossibility; or such a mystery " as that of the bread and wine in the Lord's fup-" per becomming the real body and blood of Christ, "or that of each of the three persons in the Trinity " being equally God, and yet there being no more "Gods than one; which in the eye of common " sense (he tells us) are not properly difficulties or " mysteries, but direct centradictions, Tuch as that of " a thing being and not being at the same time." And he pronounces (P. 61.) " that let a man torture his " imagination

"imagination as much as he pleases, it is impossible for him to conceive even the possibility of mutual action without some common property.—A subflance that is hard, may act and be acted upon by another hard substance or even by one that is soft,—but it is certainly impossible it should affect or be affected by a substance that can make no resistance (viz. a spiritual substance) and especially a kind of substance that cannot, with any propriety of speech, be said to be even in the fame place with it. If this be not an impossible lity (adds he) I really do not know what is so."

ro. It is true, he fays these things with respect to the foul not being able, were it a distinct, spiritual, and immaterial substance, as is generally supposed, to affect or influence the body: but if his reasoning has any force, it is equally conclusive against God, as a Spirit, being able to influence the mind of man, which the Doctor thinks to be wholly material, or indeed to influence matter in general. Hence he tells us, three pages after, that " Baufobre acknow-"ledges this difficulty even with respect to the "Deity himself, but (adds he) he gives us no af-" fistance with respect to the solution of it." Baufobre's words, as quoted by the Doctor are " If the " substance of the first Mover be absolutely imma-" terial, without extension and without size, one connot conceive how it should give motion to "matter; because such a substance can have no " hold of them, (material substances) any more than "they have upon it. We must therefore have re-" course to the Christian system, according to "which God acts upon matter by an act of his will " only." " But (fays Dr. Prieftley) if the fubstance " of a spirit cannot act upon matter, how can the " mere volition, which is the mere act of a spirit " affect it?" He therefore is inclined to think that God himself is material, (at least as far as the world is so)

a doctrine which relieves us under the great difficulty of conceiving how the world could be made out of nothing; for in that case it might be made out of God. See P. 18. Phil. Difq. And observes P. 108. "should any person think proper to apply "the term material to both natures (viz to God as "well as man) the Hypothesis he advances concerning matter makes it to be a very different "kind of materialism from the grosser sort." And he tells us (P. 176.) "that he has considered the document of proper immateriality both by the light of nature and also of the Scriptures, without finding

" any foundation for it in either."

t

1

r

e

7-

e

1-

1-

e

a-

iê

to

10

ın

e-

to

ill

331

he

rit

od

0)

11. It appears therefore, that, according to the Doctor, the Anima mundi, the Soul of the world, the Deity himself, as well as the soul of man, is a refined kind of matter, and therefore, for any thing he knows to the contrary, may be the effect of a certain arrangement of groffer matter in the Universe, that greater world, as the foul of man is the effect of a certain arrangement of matter in his body that leffer world. So that instead of God making the world as the vulgar have unphilosophically believed, the world might make God, even as the body makes the foul. If therefore we have the courage to follow our Doctor as far as he will lead us. he will bring us in the end to downright Atheim or Spinozifm, either that there is no God at all, or that the world is God. Nay he will not even stop there: for after having proved that there is nothing but matter in the Universe, he will then turn the tables and demonstrate, on the other fide, that there is no matter at al. !

an absolute impossibility to conceive how a spiritual and immaterial substance could act upon matter, because such a substance (P. 61.) having according to the usual definition of it, "no relation to place,

H

" must

fystem supposes that matter can act where it is not. For having denied so idity and impenetrability to be properties of matter, and having made its properties to be only repulsion and attraction, he supposes that repulsion and attraction both take place at some distance from the real surface of bodies, which is certainly making them act where they are not. This, indeed he acknowledges, in the illustrations he has added to his work (P. 350.) as "a considerable difficult,," and tells us that "some deem it an absurdity." But be it a difficulty or even an absurdity, he will swallow it, rather than give up his doctrine of Materia is m, Mechan sm and Necessity, the three links of the adamantine chain, which he has made to bind both God and man.

13. But this is not all. He not only makes matter act, whereit is not, but he makes it act when it is not, when, according to his scheme, it can absolutely have no existence. He denies that it has any folid extent, or that there is any thing whatever " properly folid crimpenetrable" in it, Difq. P. 16. That it is possessed of powers of attraction and " repulsion he knows, but that there is any thing " in or belonging to matter capable of refistance " belide those powers of repulsion, does not appear from any phoenomena that we are yet acquainted "with; and therefore, as a Philosopher, he is " not authorized to conclude that any fuch a thing "exists." Matter thefore is nothing, rejelling and attracting. " It has been afferted, (fays he P. 17.) and the affertion has never been disproved, that, if for any thing we know to the contrary, all the " folid matter in the folar system might be contained " within a nutshell." But if we will have a little patience, he will bring it into less compass than a nutshell. "It is objected (says he P. 351.) "that " according to my definition of matter it must be abfolutely

absolutely nothing, because, befieles extension" (observe there is no foid extension) "it consists of nothing but the powers of " attraction and repulsion, "and because I have sometimes said it consists of " physical points only, possessed of those powers." In this he owns he " may have expressed himself " rather incautiously." But (P. 353.) " If they say "that on this hypothesis there is no fuch thing as "matter and that every thing is fpirit, he has no "objection." And P. 16 "If he be asked how " upon his hypothefis matter differs from spirit." he " answers that it no way concerns him or true Philosophy to maintain that there is any fuch dif-" ference between them, as has hitherto been sup-" poled." The creating mind and the created substance (he believes) are equally destitute of solidity or impenetrability. P. 18. Phil. Difg. fo that we are come round again to where we let out. First, There is no fpirit in the Universe, even God himfelf, the father of spirits, is material: All is matter both the Creator and his Creatures. Secondly, All the matter in the Solar lystem may be contained in a nutfhel!: nay there is no matter at all: all is spirit or nothing!

other and one may arrive at the East-Indies by failing west as well as east. So that Dr. Pristley and Dr. Berkley, these two prosound Philosophers, that have honoured our nation and enriched literature with their extraordinary discoveries, are at no such distance from each other as they have been supposed, but though setting out in contrary directions, have however arrived at the same end. Dr. Berkley set his sace to prove that there is no material world, no matter at all in the universe; but that all is spirit; And Dr. Priestley has set his sace the contrary way and proceeded to shew that there is no spirit, that the whole world is composed of mere matter

matter, even the mind of man, and that God himfelf is material. And after long and laborious wanderings, they have met at length in one point and we learn from them both, that ALL IS NOTHING. I hope the shipwreck their schemes have suffered, will deter, at least, the vulgar, from venturing to follow them, in the boundless seas of speculation and fancy, and that they will be willing to leave these and such like philosophical Dostars, to turn to the Prophets and Apostles; and especially to the wisdom of the Father speaking as never man spake, to whom the Father bore testimony saying, This is my had ved Son in wh m I am well pleased, hear me him. I hope they will be willing to turn, with me, to the inspired volume, and enquire what it teaches with

respect to this subject.

19. Though I think, dear Sir, that this wild scheme of doctrine confutes itself, and is too great an abfurdity throughout, in all its parts, for any but philosophers to swallow; yet for the confirmation of my own faith and that or any note whole hands these sheets may fall, and as an introduction to my giving to the public the unfinished papers Mr. Fletcher had left in answer to the Doctor on one of those heads, viz. the Divinity of Christ; I have put down and shall immediately submit to the Christian reader a few passages of Scripture which feem to me absolutely to disprove his doctrine of the mere materiality of man, and to prove to a demonstration the distinction generally supposed to exist between foul and body, and that the former continues to live when the latter dies. The foundation being thus destroyed, the superstructure erected upon it, Dr. Priefley's strong castle which he undertakes to defend against all affailants, viz. that man is a mere machine and that all his actions and volitions are necessary and unavoidable, falls of course and becomes " like the baseless fabric of a " vilion,"

wission." Though indeed (independent of this) his doctrine of Necessity has been already very ably and fully confuted by others on other grounds, as have also his arguments and those of Dr. Tayloragainst original sin. What remains of the Socinian Doctrine, respecting the Attonement of Christ and divine Grace, may hereafter come under our consideration, should God be pleased to give ability and opportunity.

Bewailing, that under the gospel which hathbrought life and immortality to light, we should be obliged to go back beyond the the state of heath-nism, and prove to persons, that call themselves Christians that the soul does not die with the body, a truth known and believed among the most savage.

nations,

## I remain

Reverend and dear Sir,
Your Son in the Gespel,
And Servant in Christ Jesus,
JOSEPH BENSON.

END OF THE LETTERS.

divided the transfer indeed (included transfer at the start of the start at the start of the sta

and fully a minimally others on other grantes, as the less arguments wild tholoaff for Tapur to a of ordinal life. What requires or the formular flactures, refeating the Averagent of their and define the Characters and a substantial contract and define their may here flactwices ander our car analytics.

The Books referred to in these Letters

Are Dr. Priestley's Philosophical Disquisitions.

Philosophical Necessity.

History of Corruptions, and

Letters to Dr. Horsel y.

## ERRATA.

Page 21, line 35, for causes read cause.

46, L 24, r. as bis thoughts.

47, 1. 19, 1. doctrine of Necessity.

61, l. 1, r. blaming.

64, l. 11, inflead of a natural, r. natural,

74, 1. 31, r. becoming.